LETTERS

ONTHE

Spirit of Patriotism, &c. &c.

american mar

in I we

Spirit of Patheorems



LETTERS

ON THE

SPIRIT of PATRIOTISM:

ONTHE

IDEA OF A PATRIOT KING:

AND ON THE

STATE OF PARTIES,

AT THE

Accession of KING GEORGE the FIRST.

A NEW EDITION.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR T. CADELL, IN THE STRAND.

MDCCLXXXIII.

LETTBERS

AMT MO

SPIRIT OF PATRIOTISMS:

THA NO

IDEA OF A PATRIOT KING:

to the first to the same of

STOTAL TO STATE.

TOUTH THE THE PARTY.

MOST MOST

MENTED EN T. CADEE, INCHES CHESSED.

BBL

the Author had no common

views when he fet down to this work. He speaks of it with more than paternal fondach. Full of

EDMUND BURKE, Efq.

produce, and willing to imagine himself the happy in grant to

SHALL make no apology for dedicating to you a new edition of THE SPIRIT OF PATRIO-TISM, AND THE IDEA OF A PATRIOT King; a composition of Lord Bolingbroke, which he feems to have laboured with great art, and on which he has bestowed that polish, and those nice and finishing strokes, which he had neglected to give to his other works.

The

The Author had no common views when he fet down to this work. He speaks of it with more than paternal fondness. Full of the glorious effects which the reign of a Patriot King would produce, and willing to imagine himself the happy instrument to form fo noble a character; in the conclusion of his book, he breaks out into the following pathetic, and, as he hoped, prophetical expressions! "Those who live " to fee fuch happy days, and to " act in fo glorious a scene, will, " perhaps, call to mind with some " tenderness of sentiment, the man who contributed his mite to carry on fo great a work, and " who defired life for nothing fo " much,

"much as to see a King of Great Britain, the most popular man in bis country, and a Patriot King at the head of an united people."

Amongst those who lay claim to the generous title of Patriot, how sew are there who know any thing of the true spirit of Patriotism? The name of a Patriot, it is true, they are willing to assume, for the same reason, that they would put on a rich and splendid robe; the one gives an ornament to the person, and the other adds a lustre to the character; but both are easily laid aside, when either pleasure or interest, ambition or conveniency demands.

A 2

Your

Your character, Sir, has ever been so inestimable in private and public life, as to give the nation the strongest assurances of your perfifting to deserve the glorious name of Patriot, Bleft with the copious and commanding eloquence, and all the various abilities of a Bolingbroke, your conduct has been, and, I doubt not, ever will be, more steady and uniform than his. In advanced age, he lamented the consequences of passions, which he had indulged in early and middle life, and, from his closet, hoped to make atonement, by his excellent political writings, for his former unfteady and excentric conduct. advances to the Temple of Honour, THO

pro-

Honour, were not Sudden and iftegular, but gradual and progressive. There is, therefore, no reason to fear your being precipitated from that eminence by the impetuous gusts of passion, or the violent storms of ambition.

ports, to the utmoft of his power,

Permit me to congratulate you on the choice which the free citizens of Briftol have made of you for a Representative. They intended to confer a favour on you, but, in the opinion of the public, they have done honour to themselves. Those only are fit to represent the Sons of Freedom who are incapable of mean arts to attain that honour. You did not flatter your Constituents by A 3

promising more than you purposed to perform; you honestly laid before them the plan of your intended conduct. A British Senator, like you, will not flatter either Prince or People: he only is a true friend to both, who supports, to the utmost of his power, the prerogative of the crown, and the rights of the people, as they are fixed by the laws of the land.

I am, Sir, the content and I am, Sir, the content and its second a

Your most obedient,

Humble Servant,

Nov. 29, 1774.

THOMAS DAVIES.

THE

P. U. B. Lou I. S. H. E. R.

TO THE

READER.

vations upon our Hillorical Transactions and State Revolutions: which form al-

Hatever may have been the decision of the public, concerning the philosophical works of Lord Bolingbroke, it is universally agreed, that his political writings contain a perfect system of practical politics; written with an energy of stile, and a strength of reasoning, not to be found in the Essays of our most celebrated writers upon Government.

Besides these qualifications requisite in an Author, who presumes to dictate in matters of which every man thinks himself a competent judge, Lord Bolingbroke

32/110

broke has enlivened his subject with a brilliancy of wit, and a poignancy of raillery, which gives an embellishment to his stile, and a relish to his argument, and serves to take off that dryness incidental to logical deduction.

But, indeed, the intrinsic value of these works, chiefly consists in the great variety of admirable and judicious observations upon our Historical Transactions and State Revolutions; which form, altogether, an excellent and rational commentary upon the constitution of this kingdom.

He that would apply proper remedies to the mischies flowing from accidental breaches in our happy establishment, and which derive their origin from the violent prejudices of party, and the blind rage of faction; from the weakness and wickedness of ministers, from the corruption of parliaments, and the incroachment of pre-rogative, must seek for them in these volumes.

Boling-

Bolingbroke was no speculative writer, who by ransacking the records of musty books, forms fine spun schemes of Utopian Government in his closet. No; he was a vigorous agent, and skilful conductor, in the most difficult and trying emergencies of the state. He tells us no more than what he knew, and advises nothing but what he put in practice himself.

He was well apprized that his writings would be examined with the most piercing and jealous eye, and with the most inquisitorial and malevolent spirit; he, therefore, composed his Essays with a design to form a lasting and regular plan of political ratiocination, which might, at all times, be serviceable to his country; and prove a warning and a lesson, in his own age, to those who equally dreaded and admired his abilities.

It is a common, though, perhaps, useless piece of curiosity, to enter into a discussion of which is the best composi-

tion.

tion of a great writer, amongst many others almost equally good.

An ingenious gentleman who has lately favoured the public with some curious anecdotes relating to Lord Bolingbroke, afferts, in very positive terms, that his letter to Sir William Wyndham, is his great master piece.

It cannot be denied that this is a very elaborate performance, and contains a very artful, as well as spirited vindication of Bolingbroke's conduct, in the most critical part of his life. But however meritorious this letter may be, when confidered in a certain view, and as an absolute detection of the folly and abfurdity of jacobitical and high tory principles: it cannot be deemed a work altogether fo useful and interesting to the community, as Treatifes written with a defign to point out effential errors in government, to rectify the mistakes and blunders of ministers, and to expose, in proper colours, their corrupt and iniquitous proceedings: to recover our lost constitution, by bringing it back to first principles, and to fix it on a durable basis, by proving the necessity of the independency of one branch of the legislature on the other: it is plain then this tract cannot, from the inferiority of the subject, be justly compared either to Oldcastle's Remarks on the History of England; the Dissertation on Parties, or The Idea of a Patriot King.

Dr. Goldsmith, in his short, but elegant, life of Lord Bolingbroke, has indeed observed, that in his inimitable work of the Dissertation on Parties, this great writer had summoned up the whole vigour of his mind, and designed it as a parting blow to Walpole and his adherents.

Dr. Hunter, in his sketch of the philo-sophical character of Lord Bolingbroke, bestows great and just encomiums on his Political Works, but after some reasonable deductions from the merit of his notions respecting the sacred writings,

he feeths to give the preference, on the whole, to his Letters on the Study and Use of History.

proving the necessity of the independency

Lord Chefterfield, in a letter to his son, Mr. Stanhope, extols to the skies, The Spirit of Patriotism, and the Idea of a Patriot King; this work he recommends as a perfect model of style, and frankly confesses, that he was unacquainted with the extent and power of the English language, till he read that book.

From these several opinions of ingenious and eminent authors, we may reasonably conclude, that the political writings of Bolingbroke, are the power-ful efforts of a great genius, long exercised in business; that they are not only remarkable for vigour of stile, but valuable for their usefulness to society. It is evident, that they are the deliberate and mature thoughts of a man, who had surveyed, with accuracy the several forms of ancient and modern government, and preserved that of his own country

country to them all. And, lastly, that he laboured with ardour and fincerity to establish the constitution of this kingdom, on the best and firmest foundation; the equal maintenance of the legal prerogative of the crown, and the just rights and privileges of the people.

I have also given a small portrait of him from Lord Orrery, which is the more valuable, as we may suppose some of the seatures were drawn by Swift, though has often seen and converted with Lord Bolingbroke.

I fhall plead no excuse for adding to these Mr. Pope's inimitable address to his admired spiend and patron, in the conclusion of his Essay on Man.

AKL

country to them all. And, laftly, that he laborated with autour and fines. 8, 9 effa-

To this Edition I have added the character of Lord Bolingbroke, drawn by the elegant and masterly pen of the Earl of Chesterfield.

I have also given a small portrait of him from Lord Orrery, which is the more valuable, as we may suppose some of the features were drawn by Swist, though his Lordship had often seen and conversed with Lord Bolingbroke.

I shall plead no excuse for adding to these Mr. Pope's inimitable address to his admired friend and patron, in the conclusion of his Essay on Man.

EARL OF CHESTER FIELD'S

upon, hele locus it with the most splendid

CHARACTER OF

LORD BOLINGBROKE.

method or file, If the fronduct, in the

former pare of his life, had HAVE fent you in a packet which your Leipfig acquaintance, Duval, fends, to his correspondent at Rome, Lord Bolingbroke's Letters on Patriotism, and The Idea of a Patriot King, which he published about a year ago. I defire that you will read these letters over and over again, with particular attention to the stile, and to all those beauties of Oratory with which they are adorned. Till I read that book, I confess I did not know all the extent and powers of the English language. Lord Bolingbroke has both a tongue and a pen to persuade; his manner of speaking in private conversation, is full as elegant as his writings; whatever subject he either speaks or writes upon,

nogu

upon, he adorns it with the most splendid eloquence; not a studied or laboured eloquence, but fuch a flowing happiness of diction, which (from care perhaps at first) is become so habitual to him, that even his most familiar conversations, if taken down in writing, would bear the Press, without the least correction either as to method or stile. If his conduct, in the former part of his life, had been equal to all his natural and acquired talents, he would most justly have merited the epithet of all-accomplished. He is himself sensible of his past errors: those violent passions, which seduced him in his youth, have now fubfided by age; and take him as he is now, the character of all-accomplished is more his due, than any man's I ever knew in my life.

But he has been a most mortifying instance of the violence of human passions, and of the weakness of the most exalted human reason, his virtues and his vices, his reason and his passions did not blend themthemselves by a gradation of tints, but formed a shining and sudden contrast.

Here the darkest, there the most splendid colours, and both rendered more shining from their proximity. Impetuofity, excefs, and almost extravagancy, characterised not only his passions, but even his senses. His youth was distinguished by all the tumult and storm of pleasures, in which he most licentiously triumphed, disdaining all decorum. His fine imagination has often been heated and exhausted with his body, in celebrating and deifying the proftitute of the night; and his convivial joys were pushed to all the extravagancy of frantick Bacchanals. Those passions were interrupted but by a stronger, Ambition. The former impaired both his constitution and his character, but the latter destroyed both his fortune and his reputation.

He has noble and generous sentiments, rather than fixed reflected principles of good-nature and friendship; but they are

2

more violent than lasting, and suddenly and often varied to their opposite extremes, with regard even to the same persons. He receives the common attentions of civility as obligations, which he returns with interest: and resents with passion the little inadvertencies of human nature, which he repays with interest too. Even a difference of opinion upon a philosophical subject, would provoke, and prove him no practical philosopher, at least.

Notwithstanding the diffipation of his youth, and tumultuous agitation of his middle age, he has an infinite fund of various and almost universal knowledge, which, from the clearest and quickest conception, and happiest memory, that ever man was bleffed with, he always carries about him. It is his pocket-money, and he never has occasion to draw upon a book for any fum. He excels more particularly in History, as his historical works plainly prove. The relative, political and commercial interests of every country in Europe, particularly of his own, are better known to him, than perhaps to any man in it; but how steadily he has pursued the latter, in his public conduct, his enemies, of all parties and denominations, tell with joy.

He engaged young, and distinguished himself in business; and his penetration was almost intuition. I am old enough to have heard him speak in Parliament. And I remember, that, though prejudiced against him by party, I selt all the force and charms of his eloquence. Like Belial, in Milton,

He made the worse appear the better cause.

All the internal and external advantages and talents of an orator are undoubtedly his; figure, voice, elocution, knowledge, and, above all, the purest and most florid diction, with the justest metaphors, and happiest images, had raised him to the post of Secretary at War, at sour-and-twenty years old; an age at which others are hardly thought fit for the smallest employments.

During

During his long exile in France, he applied himself to study with his characteristical ardour; and there he formed, and chiefly executed the plan of a great philosophical work. The common bounds of human knowledge are too narrow for his warm and aspiring imagination. He must go extra stammantia mænia Mundi, and explore the unknown and unknowable regions of Metaphysics, which upon an unbounded field for the excursions of an ardent imagination? where endless conjectures supply the desect of unattainable knowledge, and too often usurp both its name and influence.

He has had a very handsome person, with a most engaging address in his air and manners: he has all the dignity and good breeding which a man of quality should or can have, and which so few, in this country at least, really have.

CHEST. LETT. V. II. p. 289, &c.

LORD ORRERY'S

CHARACTER OF

LORD BOLINGBROKE.

LORD Bolingbroke had early made himself master of books and men: but in his first career of life, being immersed at once in business and pleasure, he ran through a variety of scenes, in a surprizing and excentric manner.

When his passions subsided, by years and disappointment, and when he had improved his rational faculties by more grave studies and reflection, he shone out in his retirement with a lustre peculiar to himself, though not seen by vulgar eyes.

xxvi THE PUBLISHER

The gay statesman was changed into a philosopher, equal to any of the sages of antiquity. The wisdom of Socrates, the dignity and ease of Pliny, and the wit of Horace, appeared in all his writings and conversation.

Remarks on Life of Swift, p. 222, &c.

POPE'S ADDRESS

TO

LORD BOLINGBROKE,

IN THE CONCLUSION OF HIS

ESSAY ON MAN.

COME then, my friend! my genius! come along:

Oh master of the poet and the fong!

And while the muse now stoops and now ascends,

To man's low passions, or their glorious ends,

Teach me like thee, in various nature wise, To fall with dignity, with temper rise; Form'd by thy converse, happily to steer From grave to gay, from lively to severe; Correct with spirit, eloquent with ease, Intent to reason, or polite to please.

Oh!

xxviii THE PUBLISHER, &c.

Oh! while along the stream of time thy name

Expanded flies and gathers all its fame; Say, shall my little bark attendant and sail, Pursue the triumph and partake the gale? When statesmen, heroes, kings, in dust repose,

Whose sons shall blush their fathers were thy foes;

Shall then this verse to suture age pretend Thou wert my guide, philosopher, and friend?

That urg'd by thee, I turn'd the tuneful art From founds to things, from fancy to the heart;

For wit's false mirror held up nature's light;

Shew'd erring pride whatever is, is right;
That reason, passion, answer one great aim;
That true self love and social are the same;
That virtue only makes our bliss below;
And all our knowledge is, ourselves to know.

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE following papers were written several years ago, at the request, and for the sake of some particular friends, without any design of ever making them public. How they come to be made so at this time, it may be proper to give an account.—The original draughts were entrusted to a man, on whom the author thought be might entirely depend, after he had

bad exacted from bim, and taken bis promise, that they should never go into any bands, except those of five or fix persons, who were then named to him. In this confidence the author rested securely for some years; and though he was not without suspicion, that they had been communicated to more persons than be intended they should be, yet be was kept, by repeated assurances, even from suspecting that any copies had gone into bands unknown to bim. But this man was no sooner dead, than he received information that an entire edition of 1500 copies of these papers had been printed; that this very man had corrected the press, and that he had left them in the hands of the printer, to be kept with great secrecy, till further

further order. The honest printer kept his word with him better than he kept his with bis friend: so that the whole edition came at last into the hands of the author, except some few copies which this person had taken out of the heap, and carried away. These are, doubtless, the copies which have been handed about, not very privately, fince his death. The rest were all destroyed in one common fire as soon as they were given up, except a copy or two, which have never been fince out of the author's hands. By these copies it appeared, that the man who had been guilty of this breach of trust, had taken upon bim further to divide the subject, and to alter and to omit passages, according to the Suggestions of his own fancy.

What

What aggravates this proceeding extrememely is, that the author had told him on feveral occasions, among other reasons why be would not confent to the publication of these papers, that they had been writ in too much beat and burry for the public eye, though they might be trusted to a few particular friends. He added, more than once, that some things required to be softened; others perhaps to be strengthened, and the robole most certainly to be corrected; even if they were to remain, as he then imagined they would, in the hands of a few friends only. This has been done since, that there might be one copy at least more conformable to the author's intentions than those which had gone abroad, or even than his original manuscripts. There

There is scarce a man in the world more detached from it, at this hour, than the author of these papers, or more indifferent to the censure of mist people in it, having nothing to expect, nor any thing to fear from them. He might, therefore, in his way of life, and in his disposition of mind, either not have known that scraps and fragments of these papers had been employed to swell a monthly magazine, and that the Same bonourable employment of them was to be continued; or, knowing it, he might have despised and neglected it. But some of his friends thought that it was too much to suffer this breach of trust, and the licentious advantage taken of it, to make him appear the author of writings, which were become more

properly

XXXIV ADVERTISEMENT.

properly the writings of others than his, confidering how they had been garbled, and in what manner they were published. The editor therefore, who has in his hands the genuine copy which the author reserved to bimself, after revising and correcting the originals, resolved to publish it; since it was become impossible to binder such as were not genuine from being retailed monthly or weekly to the world. Neither the author nor he would give offence wantonly to the living: but the author neither can, nor ought, on any account, to neglect what truth, bonour, and the justice due to his own character require. Neither the author nor he affect to accuse ministers after their death, as the Egyptians formerly accused even their kings. There is the less reason to do so, since the former

former may be, and are accused, without scruple, though without success for the most part, during their lives. The anecdotes bere related were true, and the resections made upon them were just, many years ago. The former would not have been related, if he who related them had not known them to be true; nor the latter have been made, if he who made them had not thought them just: and if they were true and just then, they must be true and just now, and always. The author therefore scorns to disown them: and the editor thinks that he has no excuse to make for publishing them.

LETTERS

and the second second section is the second section of the second section sect the state of the state of the state of

LETTER I.

At feerus vi sines there in server to make

On the Spirit of Patriotism.

My LORD,

1736.

JOU have engaged me on a subject which interrupts the series of those letters I was writing to you; but it is one, which, I confess, I have very much at heart. I shall therefore explain myself fully, nor blush to reason on principles that are out of tashion among men, who intend nothing by serving public, but to feed their avarice, their vanity, and their luxury, without the sense of any duty they owe to God or man.

B

It

It feems to me, that in order to maintain the moral system of the world at a certain point, far below that of ideal perfection, (for we are made capable of conceiving what we are incapable of attaining) but however fufficient upon the whole to conflitute a state easy and happy, or at the worst tolerable: I say, it seems to me, that the Author of nature has thought fit to mingle from time to time, among the focieties of men, a few, and but a few of those, on whom he is graciously pleased to bestow a larger proportion of the ethereal spirit than is given in the ordinary course of his providence to the fons of men. These are they who engross almost the whole reason of the species, who are born to instruct, to guide, and to preferve: who are defigned to be the tutors and the guardians of human When they prove fuch, they exhibit to us examples of the highest virtue, and the truest piety: and they deserve to have their festivals kept, instead of that pack of Anachorites and Enthufiasts, with whose names the calendar is crowded and dif-

difgraced. When these men apply their talents to other purposes, when they strive to be great and despise being good, they commit a most facrilegious breach of trust; they pervert the means, they defeat as far as lies in them the defigns of providence, and disturb in some fort the system of infinite wisdom. To misapply these talents is the most diffused, and therefore the greatest of crimes in its nature and consequences; but to keep them unexerted, and unemployed, is a crime too. Look about you, my Lord, from the palace to the cottage; you will find that the bulk of mankind is made to breathe the air of this atmosphere, to roam about this globe, and to consume, like the courtiers of Alcinous, the fruits of the earth. Nos numerus sumus & fruges consumere nati. When they have trod this infipid round a certain number of years, and begot others to do the same after them, they have lived: and if they have performed, in some tolerable degree, the ordinary moral duties of life, they have done all they were born to do. Look about you again, my Lord, nay look

B 2

into

into your own breaft, and you will find that there are superior spirits, men who shew even from their infancy, though it be not always perceived by others, perhaps not always felt by themselves, that they were born for fomething more, and better. These are the men to whom the part I mentioned is affigned. Their talents denote their general designation; and the opportunities of conforming themselves to it, that arise in the course of things, or that are presented to them by any circumstances of rank and fituation in the fociety to which they belong, denote the particular vocation which it is not lawful for them to refift, nor even to neglect. The duration of the lives of fuch men as thefe is to be determined, I think by the length and importance of the parts they act, not by the number of years that pass between their coming into the world, and their going out of it. Whether the piece be of three, or of five acts, the part may be long: and he who fustains it through the whole may be faid to die in the fulness of years; whilft - whilst he, who declines it sooner, may be said not to live out half his days.

I have fometimes represented to myself the Vulgar, who are accidentally diffinguished by the titles of king and subject, of lord and vaffal, of nobleman and peafant; and the few who are distinguished by nature so essentially from the herd of mankind, that (figure apart) they feem to be of another species, in this manner. The former come into the world and continue in it like Dutch travellers in a foreign country. Every thing they meet has the grace of novelty: and they are fond alike of every thing that is new. They wander about from one object to another, of vain curiofity, or inelegant pleasure. If they are industrious, they shew their industry in copying figns, and collecting mottos and epitaphs. They loiter, or they trifle away their whole time: and their presence or their absence would be equally unperceived, if caprice or accident did not raise them often to flations, wherein their flupidity, their vices, or their follies, make them a public misfortune. The latter come

B 3

into

into the world, or at least continue in it after the effects of surprize and inexperience are over, like men who are fent on more important errands. They observe with distinction, they admire with knowledge. They may indulge themselves in pleasure; but as their industry is not employed about trifles, so their amusements are not made the business of their lives. Such men cannot pass unperceived thro' a country. If they retire from the world, their splendor accompanies them, and enlightens even the obscurity of their retreat. If they take a part in public life, the effect is never indifferent. They either appear like ministers of divine vengeance, and their course thro' the world is marked by desolation and oppression, by poverty and fervitude: or they are the guardian angels of the country they inhabit, busy to avert even the most distant evil, and to maintain or to procure peace, plenty, and the greatest of human blesfings, liberty.

From the observation, that superiority of parts is often employed to do superior mischief,

mischief, no consequence can be drawn against the truth I endeavour to establish. Reason collects the will of God from the constitution of things, in this as in other cases; but in no case does the Divine power impel us necessarily to conform ourselves to this will: and therefore from the mifapplication of superior parts to the hurt, no argument can be drawn against this position, that they were given for the good of mankind: Reason deceives us not: we deceive ourselves, and suffer our wills to be determined by other motives. Mon-TAIGNE OF CHARRON Would fay, l'homme fe pipe, 'man is at once his own sharper, ' and his own bubble.' Human nature is her own band, fays TULLY, Blanda conciliatrix & quasi lena sui. He who confiders the universal wants, imperfections, and vices of his kind, must agree that men were intended not only for fociety, but to unite in commonwealths, and to submit to laws. Legum idcirco omnes servi sumus, ut liberi effe possimus. And yet this very man will be feduced by his own paffions, or the paffions and examples of others, to think,

B 4

or to act as if he thought, the very contrary. So he who is conscious of superior endowments, fuch as render him more capable than the generality of men to fecure and improve the advantages of focial life, by preserving the commonwealth in strength and splendor, even he may be feduced to think, or to act as if he thought, that these endowments were given him for the gratification of his ambition, and his other paffions; and that there is no difference between vice and virtue, between a knave and an honest man, but one which a prince, who died not many years ago, afferted, 'that men of great ' fense were therefore knaves, and men of little fense were therefore honest.' But in neither of these cases will the truth and reason of things be altered, by such examples of human frailty. It will be still true, and reason will still demonstrate, that all men are directed, by the general constitution of human nature, to fubmit to government; and that fome men are in a particular manner defigned to take care of that government on which

the common happiness depends. The use that reason will make of such examples will be only this, that fince men are fo apt, in every form of life and every degree of understanding, to act against their interest and their duty too, without benevolence to mankind, or regard to the divine will; it is the more incumbent on those, who have this benevolence and this regard at heart, to employ all the means that the nature of the government allows, and that rank, circumstances of fituation, or fuperiority of talents, give them, to oppose evil, and promote good government; and contribute thus to preferve the moral system of the world, at that point of imperfection at least, which feems to have been prescribed to it by the great Creator of every fystem of beings.

Give me leave now, my Lord, to cast my eyes for a moment homeward, and to apply what I have been saying to the present state of *Britain*. That there is no prosussion of the ethereal spirit to be observed among us, and that we do not abound with men of superior genius, I

am ready to confess; but I think there is no ground for the complaints I have heard made, as if nature had not done her part in our age, as well as in former ages, by producing men capable of ferving the commonwealth. The manners of our fore-fathers were, I believe, in many refpects better: they had more probity perhaps, they had certainly more show of honour, and greater industry. But still nature fows alike, though we do not reap alike. There are, and as there always have been, there always will be fuch creatures in government as I have described above. Fortune maintains a kind of rivalship with wisdom, and piques herself often in favour of fools as well as knaves. SOCRATES used to fay, that although no man undertakes a trade he has not learned, even the meanest; yet every one thinks himself sufficiently qualified for the hardest of all trades, that of government. He faid this upon the experience he had in Greece. He would not change his opinion if he lived now in Britain. But however, fuch characters as these would

would do little hurt, generally speaking, or would not do it long, if they flood alone. To do great hurt, some genius, fome knowledge, some talents in short, natural or acquired, are necessary: less indeed, far less than are required to do good, but always fome. Yet I imagine, not the worst minister could do all the mischief he does by the misapplication of his talents alone, if it were not for the misapplication of much better talents than his by some who join with him, and the non-application, or the faint and unfteady exercise of their talents by some who oppose him; as well as the general remissness of mankind in acquiring knowledge, and in improving the parts which God has given them for the service of the public. These are the great springs of national misfortunes. There have been monsters in other ages, and other countries, as well as ours; but they have never continued their devastations long, when there were heroes to oppose them. We will suppose a man imprudent, rash, prefumptuous, ungracious, infolent and profligate.

gate, in speculation as well as practice. He can bribe, but he cannot seduce: he can buy, but he cannot gain: he can lye, but he cannot deceive. From whence then has fuch a man his ftrength? From the general corruption, of the people, nurfed up to a full maturity under his administration; from the venality of all orders and all ranks of men, some of whom are fo proflitute, that they fet themselves to sale, and even prevent application. This would be the answer, and it would be a true one as far as it goes; but it does not account for the whole. Corruption could not spread with fo much fuccess, though reduced into fystem; and though some ministers, with equal impudence and folly, avowed it by themselves and their advocates, to be the principal expedient by which they governed, if a long and almost unobserved progression of causes and effects, did not prepare the conjuncture. Let me explain it and apply it, as I conceive it. One party had given their whole attention, during

during several years, to the project of enriching themselves, and impoverishing the rest of the nation; and, by these and other means, of establishing their dominion under the government and with the favour of a family, who were foreigners, and therefore might believe, that they were established on the throne by the good will and strength of this party alone. This party in general were fo intent on these views, and many of them, I fear, are so still, that they did not advert in time to the necessary consequences of the measures they abetted: nor did they confider, that the power they raifed, and by which they hoped to govern their country, would govern them with the very rod of iron they forged, and would be the power of a prince or minister, not that of a party long. Another party continued four, fullen, and inactive, with judgments fo weak, and passions so strong, that even experience, and a fevere one furely was loft upon them. They waited, like the Yews, for a Messiah, that may never come; and under whom, if he did come, they would be strangely disappointed in their expectations of glory and triumph, and universal dominion. Whilst they waited, they were marked out like the Jews, a distinct race, hewers of wood and drawers of water, scarce members of the community, though born in the country. All indifferent men stood as it were at a gaze: and the few, who were jealous of the court, were still more jealous of one another; fo that a strength fufficient to oppose bad ministers was not easy to be formed. When this strength was formed, and the infufficiency or iniquity of the administration was daily exposed to public view, many adhered at first to the minister, and others were fince gained to his cause, because they knew nothing of the constitution of their own, nor of the history of other countries; but imagined wildly, that things always went as they faw them go, and that liberty has been, and therefore may be preserved under the influence of the fame corruption. Others perhaps were weak enough to be frightened at first, as some are hypocritical tical enough to pretend to be still, with the appellations of Tory and Jacobite, which are always ridiculoufly given to every man who does not bow to the brazen image that the king has fet up. Others again might be perfuaded, that no fatal use at least would be made of the power acquired by corruption; and men of fuperior parts might and may still flatter themselves, that if this power should be fo employed, they shall have time and means to stop the effects of it. The first of these are seduced by their ignorance and futility; the second, if they are not hypocrites, by their prejudices; the third, by their partiality and blind confidence; the last by their presumption; and all of them by the mammon of unrighteoufnefs, their private interest, which they endeavour to palliate and to reconcile as well as they can to that of the public: & cæca cupiditate corrupti, non intelligunt se, dum vendunt, & vænire.

According to this representation, which I take to be true, your Lordship will agree that our unfortunate country af-

fords

fords an example in proof of what is afferted above. The Dutch travellers I fpoke of, men of the ordinary, or below the ordinary fize of understanding, tho' they are called by caprice, or lifted any other way into power, cannot do great and long mischief, in a country of liberty; unless men of genius, knowledge, and experience, misapply these talents, and become their leaders. A ministerial faction would have as little ability to do hurt, as they have inclination to do good, if they were not formed and conducted by one of better parts than they; nor would fuch a minister be able to support, at the head of this trusty phalanx, the ignominious tyranny imposed on his country, if other men, of better parts and much more confequence than himself, were not drawn in to misapply these parts to the vilest drudgery imaginable; the daily drudgery of explaining nonsense, covering ignorance, disguising folly, concealing and even justifying fraud and corruption; instead of employing their knowledge, their elocution, their skill.

skill, experience and authority, to correct the administration and to guard the constitution. But this is not all: the example shews a great deal more. Your Lordship's experience as well as mine will justify what I am going to fay. It shews further, that fuch a conjuncture could not be rendered effectual to preserve power in fome of the weakest and same of the worst hands in the kingdom, if there was not a non-application, or a faint and unfleady exercise of parts on one fide, as well as an iniquitous misapplication of them on the other: and I cannot help faying, let it fall were it will, what I have faid perhaps already, that the former is a crime but one degree inferior to the latter. The more genius, industry, and spirit are employed to destroy, the harder the talk of faving our country becomes; but the duty increases with the difficulty, if the principles on which I reason are true. In such exigences it is not enough that genius be opposed to genius, Spirit must be matched by spirit. They, who go about to destroy, are animated

animated from the first by ambition and avarice, the love of power and of money: fear makes them often desperate at last. They must be opposed therefore, or they will be opposed in vain, by a spirit able to cope with ambition, avarice, and despair itself: by a spirit able to cope with these passions, when they are favoured and fortified by the weakness of a nation, and the strength of a government. In fuch exigencies there is little difference, as to the merit or the effect, between oppofing faintly, and unfteadily, and not oppofing at all: nay the former may be of worse consequence in certain circumstances than the latter. And this is a truth I wish with all my heart you may not see verified in our country, where many, I fear, undertake opposition not as a duty, but as an adventure; and looking on themselves like volunteers, not like men listed in the service, they deem themselves at liberty to take as much or as little of this trouble, and to continue in it as long, or end it as foon as they please. It is but a few years ago, that not the merchants

chants alone, but the whole nation, took fire at the project of new excises. The project was opposed, not on mercantile confiderations and interests alone, but on the true principles of liberty. In parliament, the opposition was strenuously enough supported for a time; but there was fo little disposition to guide and improve the spirit, that the chief concern of those who took the lead seemed applied to keep it down; and yet your Lordship remembers how high it continued against the projector; till it was calmed just before the elections of the present parliament, by the remarkable indolence and inactivity of the last session of the last. But these friends of ours, my Lord, are as much mistaken in their ethics, as the event will shew they have been in their politics.

The service of our country is no chimerical, but a real duty. He who admits the proofs of any other moral duty, drawn from the constitution of human nature, or from the moral fitness and unfitness of things, must admit them in

C 2 favour

favour of this duty, or be reduced to the most absurd inconsistency. When he has once admitted the duty on these proofs, it will be no difficult matter to demonstrate to him, that his obligation to the performance of it is in proportion to the means and the opportunities he has of performing it; and that nothing can difcharge him from this obligation as long as he has these means and these opporportunities in his power, and as long as his country continues in the same want of his fervice. These obligations then to the public fervice may become obligations for life on certain persons. No doubt they may: and shall this consideration become a reason for denying or evading them? On the contrary, fure it should become a reason for acknowledging and fulfilling them, with the greatest gratitude to the Supreme Being, who has made us capable of acting so excellent a part, and of the utmost benevolence to mankind. Superior talents, and superior rank amongst our fellow-creatures, whether acquired by birth, or by the course of accidents, and

the fuccess of our own industry, are noble prerogatives. Shall he who poffesses them repine at the obligation they lay him under, of paffing his whole life in the noblest occupation of which human nature is capable? To what higher station, to what greater glory can any mortal aspire, than to be, during the whole course of his life, the support of good, the controll of bad government, and the guardian of public liberty? To be driven from hence by fuccessful tyranny, by loss of health or of parts, or by the force of accidents, is to be degraded in fuch a manner as to deferve pity, and not to incur blame: but to degrade ourfelves, to descend voluntarily, and by choice, from the highest to a lower, perhaps to the lowest rank among the sons of ADAM; to abandon the government of men for that of hounds and horses, the care of a kingdom for that of a parish, and a scene of great and generous efforts in public life, for one of trifling amusements and low cares, of floth and of idenes, what is it, my Lord? I had rather C 3 your your Lordship should name it than I. Will it be faid that it is hard to exact from some men, in favour of others, that they should renounce all the pleasures of life, and drudge all their days in businefs, that others may indulge themselves in ease? It will be said without grounds. A life dedicated to the service of our country admits the full use, and no life should admit the abuse, of pleasures: the least are confistent with a constant discharge of our public duty, the greatest arise from it. The common, the sensual pleasures to which nature prompts us, and which reason therefore does not forbid, tho' she should always direct, are so far from being excluded out of a life of business, that they are sometimes necesfary in it, and are always heightened by it: those of the table, for instance, may be ordered so as to promote that which the elder CATO calls vita conjunctionem. In the midst of public duties, private studies, and an extreme old age, he found time to frequent the fodalitates, or clubs of friends at Rome, and to fit up all night with

with his neighbours in the country of the Sabines. CATO's virtue often glowed with wine: and the love of women did not hinder CÆSAR from forming and executing the greatest projects that ambition ever suggested. But if CASAR, whilst he laboured to destroy the liberties of his country, enjoyed these inferior pleasures of life, which a man who labours to fave those liberties may enjoy as well as he; there are superior pleasures in a busy life that CESAR never knew, those, I mean, that arise from a faithful discharge of our duty to the commonwealth. Neither MONTAIGNE in writing his effays, nor DES CARTES in building new worlds, nor BURNET in framing an antedeluvian earth, no nor NEWTON in discovering and establishing the true laws of nature on experiment and a sublimer geometry, felt more intellectual joys, than he feels who is a real patriot, who bends all the force of his understanding, and directs all his thoughts and actions, to the good of his country. When such a man forms a political scheme, and adjust various and seeming-C 4

ly independent parts in it to one great and good defign, he is transported by imagination, or absorbed in meditation, as much and as agreeably as they; and the fatisfaction that arifes from the different importance of these objects, in every step of the work, is vastly in his favour. It is here that the speculative philosopher's labour and pleasure end. But he who speculates in order to act, goes on, and carries his scheme into execution. His labour continues, it varies, it increases; but so does his pleasure too. The execution indeed is often traversed, by unforefeen and untoward circumstances, by the perverseness or treachery of friends, and by the power or malice of enemies: but the first and the last of these animate, and the docility and fidelity of some men make amends for the perverseness and treachery of others. Whilst a great event is in suspense, the action warms, and the very suspense, made up of hope and fear, maintains no unpleasing agitation in the mind. If the event is decided fuccessfully, fuch a man enjoys pleasure proportionable to the good he has done; a pleafure like to that which is attributed to the Supreme Being, on a survey of his works. If the event is decided otherwise, and usurping courts, or over-bearing parties prevail; fuch a man has still the testimony of his conscience, and a sense of the honour he has acquired, to foothe his mind, and fupport his courage. For although the course of state-affairs be to those who meddle in them like a lottery, yet it is a lottery wherein no good man can be a lofer: he may be reviled, it is true, instead of being applauded, and may fuffer violence of many kinds. I will not fay, like SENECA, that the noblest spectacle which God can behold, is a virtuous man fuffering, and ftruggling with afflictions: but this I will fay, that the fecond CATO driven out of the forum, and dragged to prison, enjoyed more inward pleasure, and maintained more outward dignity, than they who infulted him, and who triumphed in the ruin of their country. But the very exmot ample ample of CATO may be urged perhaps against what I have infifted upon: it may be asked, what good he did to Rome, by dedicating his whole life to her fervice, what honour to himself by dying at Utica? It may be faid, that governments, have their periods like all things human; that they may be brought back to their primitive principles during a certain time, but that when these principles are worn out, in the minds of men, it is a vain enterprize to endeavour to renew them: that this is the case of all governments, when the corruption of the people comes to a great pitch, and is grown universal: that when a house which is old, and quite decayed, though often repaired, not only cracks, but totters even from the foundations, every man in his fenses runs out of it, and takes shelter where he can, and that none but mad men continue obstinate to repair what is irreparable till they are crushed in the ruin. Just so, that we must content ourselves to live under the government we like the least, when that form BILL

form which we like the most is destroyed, or worn out; according to the counsel of DOLABELLA in one of his letters to CI-CERO. But, my Lord, if CATO could not fave, he prolonged the life of liberty ! the liberties of Rome would have been loft when CATILINE attacked them, abetted probably by CASAR and CRASSUS, and the worst citizens of Rome; and when CI-CERO defended them, abetted by CATO and the best. That CATO erred in his conduct, by giving way too much to the natural roughness of his temper, and by allowing too little for that of the Romans, among whom luxury had long prevailed, and corruption was openly practifed, is most true. He was incapable of employing those seeming compliances that are reconcileable to the greatest steadiness, and treated unskilfully a crazy constitution. The safety of the commonwealth depended, in that critical conjuncture, on a coalition of parties, the senatorian and the equestrian: Tully had formed it, CATO broke it. But if this good, for

for I think he was not an able man, erred in the particular respects I have ventured to mention, he deserved most certainly the glory he acquired by the general tenor of his conduct, and by dedicating the whole labour of his life to the fervice of his country. He would have deserved more if he had persisted in maintaining the same cause to the end, and would have died I think with a better grace at Munda than at Utica. If this be fo, if CATO may be cenfured, severely inceed, but justly, for abandoning the cause of liberty, which he would not however furvive; what shall we say of those who embrace it faintly, pursue it irresolutely. grow tired of it when they have much to hope, and give it up when they have nothing to fear?

My Lord, I have infifted the more on this duty which men owe to their country, because I came out of England, and continue still, strongly affected with what I saw when I was there. Our government has approached, nearer than ever before, before, to the true principles of it, fince the revolution of one thousand fix hundred and eighty eight: and the acceffion of the present family to the throne, has given the fairest opportunities, as well as the justest reasons, for compleating the scheme of liberty, and improving it to perfection. But it feems to me, that, in our separate world, as the means of asferting and supporting liberty are increased, all concern for it is diminished. I beheld when I was among you, more abject fervility, in the manners and behaviour of particular men, than I ever faw in France, or than has been feen there, I believe, fince the days of that Gafcon, who being turned out of the minister's door, leaped in again at his window. As to bodies of men, I dare challenge your Lordship, and am forry for it, to produce any inflances of refiftance to the unjust demands, or wanton will of a court, that British parliaments have given, comparable to fuch as I am able to cite to the honour of the parliament of Paris, and the whole body of the law in that country,

country, within the same compass of time. This abject servility may appear justly the more wonderful in Britain, because the government of Britain has, in some fort, the appearance of an oligarchy: and monarchy is rather hid behind it than thewn. rather weakened than firengthened, rather imposed upon than obeyed. The wonder therefore is to observe, how imagination and cuftom, (a giddy fool and a formal pedant) have rendered these cabels? or oligarchies, more respected than majesty itself. That this should happen in countries where princes, who have abforlute power, may be tyrants themselves, or substitute subordinate tyrants, is not wonderful. It has happened often: but that it should happen in Britain, may be justly an object of wonder. In these countries, the people had loft the armour of their constitution: they were naked and defenceless. Ours is more compleat than ever. But though we have preferved the armour; we have loft the spirit of our constitution: and therefore we bear, from little engroffers of delegated power, what

our fathers would not have suffered from true proprietors of the royal authority. Parliaments are not only, what they always were, essential parts of our constifution, but effential parts of our administration too. They do not claim the executive power. No. But the executive power cannot be exercised without their annual concurrence. How few months, instead of years, have princes and ministers now, to pass without inspection and controul? How easy therefore is it become to check every growing evil in the bud, to change every bad administration, to keep such farmers of government in awe, to maintain and revenge, if need be, the constitution? It is become so easy by the present form of our government, that corruption alone could not destroy us. We must want spirit, as well as virtue, to perish. Even able knaves would preferve liberty in fuch circumstances as ours, and highwaymen would fcorn to receive the wages and do the drudgery of pickpockets. But all is little, and low, and mean among us! Far from

from having the virtues, we have not even the vices of great men. He who had pride instead of vanity, and ambition but equal to his defire of wealth, could never bear, I do not fay to be the understrapper to any farmer of royal authority, but to see patiently one of them (at best his fellow, perhaps his inferior in every respect) lord it over him, and the rest of mankind, diffipating wealth, and trampling on the liberties of his country, with impunity. This could not happen, if there was the least spirit among us. But there is none. What passes among us for ambition, is an odd mixture of avarice and vanity: the moderation we have feen practifed is pufillanimity, and the philofophy that some men affect is sloth. Hence it comes that corruption has spread, and prevails.

I expect little from the principal actors that tread the stage at present. They are divided, not so much as it has seemed, and as they would have it believed, about measures: the true division is about their different ends. Whilst the minister was

not

not hard pushed, nor the prospect of succeeding to him near, they appeared to have but one end, the reformation of the government. The destruction of the minister was pursued only as a preliminary, but of effential and dispensable necessity to that end. But when his destruction feemed to approach, the object of his fucceffion interposed to the fight of many, and the reformation of the government was no longer their point of view. They divided the skin, at least in their thoughts, before they had taken the beaft, and the common fear of hunting him down for others made them all faint in the chace. It was this, and this alone, that bas faved him, or bas put off his evil day. Corruption, so much, and fo justly complained of, could not have done it alone.

When I say that I expect little from the principal actors that tread the stage at present, I am far from applying to all of them what I take to be true of the far greatest part. There are men among them who certainly intend the good of their country, and whom I love and honour

honour for that reason. But these men have been clogged, or miflead, or overborne by others; and seduced by natural temper to inactivity, have taken any excuse, or yielded to any pretence that favoured it. That they should rouse therefore in themselves, or in any one else, the spirit they have suffered, nay helped to die away, I do not expect. I turn my eyes from the generation that is going off, to the generation that is coming on the stage. I expect good from them, and from none of them more than from you. my Lord. Remember that the opposition in which you have engaged, at your first entrance into business, is not an opposition only to a bad administration of public affairs, but to an administration that supports itself by means, establishes principles, introduces customs, repugnant to the constitution of our government, and deftructive of all liberty; that you do not only combat present evils, but attempts to entail these evils upon you and your posterity; that if you cease the combat, you give up the cause: and that he, he, who does not renew on every occasion his claim, may forseit his right.

Our disputes were formerly, to say the truth, much more about persons than things; or at most about particular points of political conduct, in which we should have foon agreed, if perfons, and perfonal interest had been less concerned, and the blind prejudice of party less prevalent. Whether the Big-endians or the Littleendians got the better, I believe no man of sense and knowledge thought the constitution concerned; notwithstanding all the clamour raised at one time about the danger of the church, and at another abour the danger of the protestant succesfion. But the case is at this time vaftly altered. The means of invading liberty more effectually by the constitution of the revenue, than it ever had been invaded by prerogative, were not then grown up into strength. They are so now; and a bold and an infolent use is made of them. To reform the state therefore is, and ought to be, the object of your opposition, as well as to reform the D2

the administration. Why do I say as well? It is so, and it ought to be so, much more. Wrest the power of the government, if you can out of hands that have employed it weakly and wickedly, ever fince it was thrown into them, by a filly bargain made in one reign, and a corrupt bargain made in another. But do not imagine this to be your fole, or your principal bufinefs. You owe to your country, to your honour, to your fecurity, to the present, and to future ages, that no endeavours of yours be wanting to repair the breach that is made, and is increasing daily in the constitution, and to thut up with all the bars and bolts of law, the principal entries through which these torrents of corruption have been let in upon us. I fay the principal entries; because, however it may appear in pure speculation, I think it would not be found in practice possible, no nor eligible neither, to shut them up all. As entries of corruption none of them deferve to be excepted: but there is a just distinction to be made, because there is a real

a real difference. Some of these entries are opened by the abuse of powers, necesfary to maintain subordination, and to carry on even good government, and therefore necessary to be preserved in the crown, notwithstanding the abuse that is fometimes made of them; for no human institution can arrive at perfection, and the most that human wisdom can do, is to procure the same or greater good, at the expence of less evil. There will be always some evil either immediate, or remote, either in cause or consequence. But there are other entries of corruption, and these are by much the greatest, for fuffering of which to continue open no reason can be affigned or has been pretended to be affigned, but that which is to every honest and wife man a reason for shutting them up; the increase of the means of corruption, which are oftener employed for the fervice of the oligarchy, than for the fervice of the monarchy. Shut up thefe, and you will have nothing to fear from the others. By thefe, a D 3

more real and a more dangerous power has been gained to ministers, than was lost to the crown by the restraints on prerogative.

There have been periods when our government continued free, with strong appearances of becoming absolute. Let it be your glory, my Lord, and that of the new generation springing up with you, that this government do not become absolute at any suture period, with the appearances of being free. However you may be employed; in all your councils, in all your actions, keep this regard to the constitution always in fight. scene that opens before you is great, and the part that you will have to act difficult. It is difficult indeed to bring men, from strong habits of corruption, to prefer honour to profit, and liberty to luxury; as it is hard to teach princes the great art of governing all by all, or to prevail on them to practife it. But if it be a difficult, it is a glorious attempt; an attempt worthy to exert the greatest talents; and

to fill the most extended life. Pursue it with courage, my Lord, nor despair of success.

——Deus hæc fortasse benigna Reducet in sedem Vice.

A parliament, nay one house of parliament, is able at any time, and at once, to destroy any corrupt plan of power. Time produces every day new conjunctures: Be prepared to improve them. We read in the old testament of a city that might have escaped divine vengeance, if five righteous men had been found in it. Let not our city perish for want of so small a number: and if the generation that is going off could not surnish it, let the generation that is coming on surnish a greater.

We may reasonably hope that it will, from the first essays which your Lordship, and some others of our young senators, have made in public life. You have raised the hopes of your country by the D 4 proofs

proofs you have given of superior parts. Confirm these hopes by proofs of uncommon industry and application, and perseverence. Superior parts, nay even fuperior virtue, without these qualities, will be insufficient to support your character and your cause. How many men have appeared in my time who have made these essays with success, and have made no progress afterwards? Some have dropped, from their first flights, down into the vulgar crowd, have been diftinguished, nay heard of, no more! Others with better parts, perhaps with more prefumption, but certainly with greater ridicule, have perfifted in making thefe essays towards business all their lives, and have never been able to advance farther in their political course, than a premeditated harangue on some choice subject. I never faw one of these important persons fit down after his oration, with repeated hear-hims ringing in his ears, and inward rapture glowing in his eyes, that he did not recal to my memory the ftory of a conFrance, who was overheard, after his tedious harangue, muttering most devoutly to himself, Non nobis, Domine, non nobis, sed nomini tuo da gloriam!

Eloquence, that leads mankind by the ears, gives a nobler superiority than power that every dunse may use, or fraud that every knave may employ, to lead them by the nofe. But eloquence must flow like a fream that is fed by an abundant spring, and not spout forth a little frothy water on some gaudy day, and remain dry the rest of the year. The famous orators of Greece and Rome were the statesmen and ministers of those commonwealths. The nature of their governments and the humour of those ages made elaborate orations necessary. They harangued oftener than they debated: and the ars dicendi required more study and more exercise of mind, and of body too, among them, than are necessary among us. But as much pains as they took in learning how to conduct the stream of eloquence, they took more to enlarge the foun-

fountain from which it flowed. Hear DEMOSTHENES, hear CICERO thunder against PHILIP, CATALINE, and An-THONY. I chuse the example of the first rather than that of PERICLES whom he imitated, or of Phocion whom he opposed, or of any other considerable personage in Greece; and the example of CICERO rather than that of CRASSUS, or of Hortensius, or of any other of the great men of Rome; because the eloquence of these two has been so celebrated that we are accustomed to look upon them almost as meer orators. They were orators indeed, and no man who has a foul can read their orations, after the revolution of so many ages, after the extinction of the governments, and of the people for whom they were composed, without feeling at this hour the passions they were designed to move, and the spirit they were designed to raise. But if we look into the history of these two men, and consider the parts they acted, we shall see them in another light, and admire them in an higher sphere of action. Demosthenes had been

been neglected, in his education, by the Tame tutors who cheated him of his inheritance. CICERO was bred with greater advantage: and PLUTARCH, I think, fays that when he first appeared the people used to call him, by way of derision, the Greek, and the scholar. But whatever advantage of this kind the latter might have over the former, and to which of them foever you ascribe the superior genius, the progress which both of them made in every part of political knowledge, by their industry and application, was marvellous. CICERO might be a better philosopher, but DEMOSTHENES was no less a statesman: and both of them performed actions and acquired fame, above the reach of eloquence alone. DEMOSTHENES used to compare eloquence to a weapon, aptly enough; for eloquence, like every other weapon, is of little use to the owner, unless he have the force and the skill to use it. This force and this skill DEMOSTHE-NES had in an eminent degree. Observe them in one instance among many. It was of mighty importance to PHILIP to prevent

vent the accession of Thebes to the grand alliance that DEMOSTHENES, at the head of the Athenian commonwealth, formed against the growing power of the Macedamians. PHILIP had emissaries and his ambaffadors on the spot to oppose to those of Athens, and we may be affored that he neglected none of those arts upon this occafion that he employed for fuccessfully on others. The struggle was great, but De-MOSTHENES prevailed, and the Thebans engaged in the war against PHILIP. Was it by his eloquence alone that he prevailed in a divided flate, over all the fubtilty of intrigue, all the dexterity of negotiation, all the feduction, all the corruption, and all the terror that the ablest and most powerful prince could employ? Was DEMOS-THENES wholly taken up with composing orations, and haranguing the people, in this remarkable criss? He harangued them no doubt at Thebes, as well as at Athens, and in the reft of Greece, where all the great resolutions of making alliances, waging war, or concluding peace, were determined in democratical affemblies.

blies. But yet haranguing was no doubt the least part of his bufiness, and elequence was neither the fole, nor the principal talent, as the style of writers would induce us to believe, on which his forccess depended. He must have been master of other arts, subserviently to which his eloquence was employed, and must have had a thorough knowledge of his own state, and of the other states of Greece, of their dispositions, and of their interests relatively to one another, and relatively to their neighbours, to the Persians particularly, with whom he held a correspondence, not much to his honour: I fay, he must have been master of many other arts, and have possessed an immence fund of knowledge, to make his eloquence in every cafe fuccessful, and even pertinent or seasonable in tome, as well as to direct it and to furnish it with matter whenever he thought proper to employ this weapon, a manual

Let us consider Tully on the greatest theatre of the known world, and in the most difficult circumstances. We are better acquainted with him than we are

with

with DEMOSTHENES: for we see him nearer, as it were, and in more different lights. How perfect a knowledge had he acquired of the Roman constitution of government, ecclefiaftical and civil; of the original and progress, of the general reafons and particular occasions of the laws and customs of his country; of the great rules of equity, and the low practice of courts; of the duty of every magistracy and office in the state, from the dictator down to the lictor; and of all the steps by which Rome had risen from her infancy, to liberty, to power and grandeur and dominion, as well as of all those by which she began to decline, a little before his age, to that servitude which he died for opposing, but lived to see established, and in which not her liberty alone, but her power and grandeur and dominion were loft? How well was he acquainted with the Roman colonies and provinces, with the allies and enemies of the empire, with the rights and privileges of the former, the dispositions and conditions of the latter, with the interests of them all relatively

tively to Rome, and with the interests of Rome relatively to them? How present to his mind were the anecdotes of former times concerning the Roman and other states, and how curious was he to observe the minutest circumstances that passed in his own? His works will answer sufficiently the questions I ask, and establish in the mind of every man who reads them the idea I would give of his capacity and knowledge, as well as that which is fo univerfally taken of his eloquence. man fraught with all this stock of knowledge, and industrious to improve it daily, nothing could happen that was entirely new, nothing for which he was quite unprepared, scarce any effect whereof he had not confidered the cause, scarce any cause wherein his sagacity could not discern the latent effect. His eloquence in private causes gave him first credit at Rome, but it was this knowledge, this experience, and the continued habits of bufiness, that supported his reputation, enabled him to do fo much fervice to his country, and gave force and authority

to his eloquence. To little purpose would he have attacked CATALINE with all the vehemence that indignation and even fear added to eloquence, if he had trufted to this weapon alone. This weapon alone would have secured neither him nor the fenate from the poniard of that affaffin. He would have had no occasion to boast, that he had driven this infamous citizen out of the walls of Rome, abiit, excessit, evafit, erupit, if he had not made it before-hand impossible for him to continue any longer in them. As little occasion would he have had to affume the honour of defeating without any tumult, or any disorder, the designs of those who conspired to murder the Roman people, to destroy the Roman empire, and to extinguish the Roman name; if he had not united by skill and management, in the common cause of their country, orders of men the most averse to each other; if he had not watched all the machinations of the conspirators in filence, and prepared a strength sufficient to refist them at Rome, and in the provinces, before he opened this

my

this scene of villany to the senate and the people; in a word, if he had not made much more use of political prudence, that is, of the knowledge of mankind and of the arts of government, which study and experience give, than of all the powers of his eloquence.

Such was DEMOSTHENES, fuch was CICERO, fuch were all the great men whose memories are preserved in history, and fuch must every man be, or endeayour to be, if he has either fense or fentiment, who prefumes to meddle in affairs of government, of a free government I mean, and hopes to maintain a distinguished character in popular affemblies, whatever part he takes, whether that of supporting, or that of opposing. I put the two cases purposely, my Lord, because I have observed, and your Lordship will have frequent occasions of observing, many persons who seem to think that opposition to an administration requires fewer preparations, and less constant application than the conduct of it. Now.

my Lord, I take this to be a gross error, and I am fure it has been a fatal one. It is one of those errors, and there are many fuch, which men impute to judgement, and which proceed from the defect of judgement, as this does from lightness, irresolution, laziness, and a salse notion of opposition; unless the persons, who feem to think, do not really think in this manner, but ferving the public purely for interest, and not for fame, nor for duty, decline taking the same pains when they oppose without personal and immediate reward, as they are willing to take when they are paid for ferving. Look about you, and you will fee men eager to speak, and keen to act, when particular occasions press them, or particular motives excite them, but quite unprepared for either: and hence all that superficiality in speaking, for want of information, hence all that confusion or inactivity, for want of concert, and all that disappointment for want of preliminary meafures. They who affect to head an opposition, tion, or to make any confiderable figure in it, must be equal at least to those whom they oppose; I do not say in parts only, but in application and industry, and the fruits of both, information, knowledge, and a certain constant preparedness for all the events that may arise. Every administration is a system of conduct: opposition therefore, should be a system of conduct likewise; an opposite, but not a dependent system. I shall explain myself better by an example. When two armies take the field, the generals on both fides have their different plans for the campaign, either of defence or of offence; and as the former does not suspend his measures, till he is attacked, but takes them beforehand on every probable contingency, fo the latter does not suspend his, till the opportunity of attacking presents itself, but is alert and constantly ready to feize it whenever it happens; and in the mean time is bufy to improve all the advantages of skill, of force, or of any other kind that he has, or that he can acquire, E 2 indeindependently of the plan and of the mo-

In a word, my Lord, this is my notion, and I submit it to you. According to the present form of our constitution, every member of either house of parliament is a member of a national standing council, born, or appointed by the people, to promote good, and to oppose bad government; and if not vefted with the power of a minister of state, yet vested with the fuperior power of controlling those who are appointed such by the crown. It follows from hence, that they who engage in opposition are under as great obligations, to prepare themselves to controul, as they who ferve the crown are under, to prepare themselves to carry on the administration: and that a party formed for this purpole, do not act like good citizens nor honest men, unless they propose true, as well as oppose false meafures of government. Sure I am they do not act like wife men unless they act fystematically, and unless they contrast, on every occasion, that scheme of policy which the public interest requires to be followed, with that which is fuited to no interest but the private interest of the prince or his ministers. Cunning men (feveral fuch there are among you) will diflike this consequence, and object, that fuch a conduct would support, under the appearance of opposing, a weak and even a wicked administration; and that to proceed in this manner would be to give good counsel to a bad minister, and to extricate him out of distresses that ought to be improved to his ruin. But cunning pays no regard to virtue, and is but the low mimic of wisdom. It were easy to demonstrate what I have afferted concerning the duty of an opposing party: and I prefume there is no need of labouring to prove, that a party who opposed, fystematically, a wife to a filly, an honest to an iniquitous, scheme of government, would acquire greater reputation and ftrength, and arrive more furely at their end, than a party who opposed oceafionally, E 3

54 ON THE SPIRIT, &c.

fionally, as it were, without any common fystem, without any general concert, with little uniformity, little preparation, little perseverance, and as little knowledge or political capacity. But it is time to leave this inviduous subject, and to hasten to the conclusion of my letter before it grows into a book.

I am, my Lord, &c.

LETTER II.

The IDEA of

A PATRIOT KING.

PARKER KING

The IDEA of

A PATRIOT KING.

INTRODUCTION.

Dec. 1, 1738.

Revising some letters I writ to my Lord ***, I sound in one of them a great deal said concerning the duties which men owe to their country, those men particularly who live under a free constitution of government; with a strong application of these general doctrines to the present state of Great Britain, and to the characters of the present actors on the stage.

I saw no reason to alter, none even to soften, any thing that is there advanced.

On

On the contrary, it came into my mind to carry these considerations surther, and to delineate, for I pretend not to make a persect draught, the duties of a king to his country; of those kings particularly who are appointed by the people, for I know of none who are anointed by God, to rule in limited monarchies. After which, I propose to apply the general doctrines in this case, as strongly and as directly as in the other, to the present state of Great Britain,

I am not one of those oriental slaves, who deem it unlawful presumption to look their kings in the face; neither am I swayed by my Lord Bacon's authority to think this custom good and reasonable in it's meaning, though it savours of barbarism in it's institution: Ritu quidem barbarus, sed significatione bonus. Much otherwise: It seems to me that no secrets are so important to be known, no hearts deserve to be pryed into with more curiosity and attention, than those of princes. But many things have concurred, besides age and temper, to set me at a great distance from the

the present court. Far from prying into the hearts, I scarce know the faces, of our royal family. I shall therefore decline all application to their characters, and all mention of any influence which their characters may have on their own fortune, or on that of this nation.

The principles I have reasoned upon in my letter to my Lord ***, and those I shall reason upon here, are the same. They are laid in the same system of human nature. They are drawn from that fource from whence all the duties of public and private morality must be derived, or they will be often falfly, and always precariously established. Up to this source there are few men who take the pains to go: and open as it lies, there are not many who can find their way to it. By such as you, I shall be understood, and approved; and far from fearing the cenfure or the ridicule, I should reproach myself with the applause, of men who measure their interest by their passions, and their duty by the examples of a corrupt age; that is, by the examples they afford

afford to one another. Such I think are the greatest part of the present generation; not of the vulgar alone, but of those who stand foremost, and are raised highest in our nation. Such we may justly apprehend too that the next will be, since they who are to compose it will set out into the world under a direction that must intelline them strongly to the same course of self-interest, profligacy, and corruption.

The iniquity of all the principal men in any community, of kings and ministers especially, does not confift alone in the crimes they commit, and in the immediate consequences of these crimes: and therefore their guilt is not to be measured by these alone. Such men fin against poflerity, as well as against their own age: and when the consequences of their crimes are over, the consequences of their example remain. I think, and every wife and honest man in generations yet unborn will think, if the history of --- 's administration descends to blacken our annals, that the greatest iniquity of the minister, on whom the whole iniquity ought to be charged, charged, fince he has been fo long in posfession of the whole power, is the conflant endeavour he has employed to corrupt the morals of men. I fay thus generally the morals, because he who abandons or betrays his country, will abandon or betray his friend; and because he who is prevailed on to act in parliament, without any regard to truth or justice, will eafily prevail on himself to act in the same manner every where elfe. A wifer and honester administration may relieve our trade from that oppression, and the public from that load of debt under which it must be supposed that he has industriously kept it; because we are able to prove, by fair calculations, that he might have provided effectually for the payment of it, fince he came to the head of the trea-A wifer and honester administration may draw us back to our former credit and influence abroad, from that state of contempt into which we are funk among all our neighbours. But will the minds of men, which this minister has narrowed to personal regards alone, will their

their views, which he has confined to the present moment, as if nations were mortal like the men who compose them, and Britain was to perish with her degenerate children; will these, I say, be so eafily or so soon enlarged? Will their sentiments, which are debased from the love of liberty, from zeal for the honour and prosperity of their country, and from a defire of honest fame, to an absolute unconcernedness for all these, to an abject fubmission, and to a rapacious eagerness after wealth that may fate their avarice, and exceed the profusion of their luxury; will these, I say again, be so easily, or so foon elevated? In a word, will the British spirit, that spirit which has preserved liberty hitherto in one corner of the world at least, be so easily or so soon reinfused into the British nation? I think not. We have been long coming to this point of depravation: and the progress from confirmed habits of evil is much more flow than the progress to them. Virtue is not placed on a rugged mountain of difficult and dangerous access,

cefs, as they who would excuse the indolence of their temper, or the perverfeness of their will, desire to have it believed; but she is seated however on an eminence. We may go up to her with ease, but we must go up gradually, according to the natural progression of reafon, who is to lead the way, and to guide our steps. On the other hand, if we fall from thence, we are fure to be hurried down the hill with a blind impetuofity, according to the natural violence of those appetites and passions that caused our fall at first, and urge it on the faster, the further they are removed from the controll that before restrained them.

To perform, therefore, so great a work, as to reinfuse the spirit of liberty, to reform the morals, and to raise the sentiments of a people, much time is required; and a work which requires so much time may too probably be never compleated; considering how unsteadily and unsystematically even the best of men are apt often to proceed; and how this reformation is to be carried forward in opposition to public

public fashion, and private inclination, to the authority of the men in power, and to the fecret bent of many of those who are out of power. Let us not flatter ourfelves; I did fo too long. It is more to be wished than to be hoped, that the contagion should spread no further than that leprous race, who carry on their skins, exposed to public fight, the scabs and blotches of their distemper. The minister preaches corruption aloud and constantly, like an impudent missionary of vice; and fome there are who not only infinuate, but teach the same occasionally. I say some; because I am as far from thinking, that all those who join with him, as that any of those who oppose him, wait only to be more authorifed, that they may propagate it with greater fuccefs, and apply it to their own use, in their turn.

It feems to me, upon the whole matter, that to fave or redeem a nation under fuch circumstances from perdition, nothing less is necessary than some great, some

fome extraordinary conjuncture of ill fortune, or of good, which may purge, yet so as by fire. Diffress from abroads bankruptcy at home, and other circumstances of like nature and tendency, may beget universal confusion. Out of confusion order may arise: but it may be the order of a wicked tyranny, instead of the order of a just monarchy. Either may happen: and such an alternative, at the disposition of fortune, is sufficient to make a stoic tremble! We may be faved indeed by means of a very different kind. but these means will not offer themselves, this way of falvation will not be opened to us, without the concurrence, and the influence of a Patriot King, the most uncommon of all phænomena in the phyfical or moral world.

Nothing can furely and so effectually restore the virtue and public spirit, essential to the preservation of liberty, and national prosperity, as the reign of such a prince.

We are willing to indulge this pleafing expectation, and there is nothing we defire

defire more ardently than to be able to hold of a British Prince, without flattery, the same language that was held of a Roman emperor, with a great deal,

Nil oriturum alias, nil ortum tale fatentes.

But let us not neglect, on our part, such means as are in our power, to keep the cause of truth, of reason, of virtue, and of liberty alive. If the bleffing be with-held from us, let us deserve at least that it should be granted to us. If heaven in mercy bestows it on us, let us prepare to receive it, to improve it, and to co-operate with it.

I speak as if I could take my share in these glorious efforts. Neither shall I recal my words. Stripped of the rights of a British subject, of all except the meanest of them, that of inheriting, I remember that I am a Briton still. I apply to myself what I have read in Seneca, Officia si civis amiserit, bominis exerceat. I have renounced

renounced the world, not in shew, but in reality, and more by my way of thinking than by my way of living, as retired as that may feem. But I have not renounced my country, nor my friends; and by my friends I mean all those, and those alone, who are such to their country, by whatever name they have been, or may be still distinguished: and though in that number there should be men, of whose past ingratitude, injustice, or malice, I might complain on my own account with the greatest reason. These I will never In their prosperity, they shall renounce. never hear of me; in their diffress, In that retreat, wherein the remainder of my days shall be spent, I may be of some use to them; fince even from thence, I may advise, exhort, and warn them. Nec enim is folus reipub: prodest, qui candidatos extrabit, & tuetur reos, & de pace bellog; censet; sed qui juventutem exbortatur : qui, in tanta bonorum præceptorum inopia, virtute instruit animos; qui ad pecuniam luxuriamque cursu ruentes, prenfat F 2

prensat ac retrabit, & si nibil aliud, certe moratur; in privato publicum negotium agit.

The IDEA of

A PATRIOT KING.

Y intention is not to introduce what I have to fay concerning the duties of kings, by any nice inquiry in the original of their institution. What is to be known of it will appear plainly enough, to fuch as are able and can spare time to trace it, in the broken traditions which are come down to us of a few nations. But those, who are not able to trace it there, may trace fomething better and more worthy to be known, in their own thoughts: I mean what this institution ought to have been, whenever it began, according to the rule of reason, founded in the common F 3 rights,

rights, and interests, of mankind. On this head it is quite necessary to make some reflections, that will, like angular stones laid on a rock, support the little fabrick, the model however of a great building, that I propose to raise.

So plain a matter could never have been rendered intricate and voluminous, had it not been for lawless ambition, extravagant vanity, and the detestable spirit of tyranny; abetted by the private interests of artful men, by adulation and superstition, two vices to which that staring timid creature man is exceffively prone; if authority had not imposed on such as did not pretend to freason; and if such as did attempt to reason had not been caught in the common fnares of fophism, and bewildered in the labyrinths of disputation. In this case, therefore, as in all those of great concernment, the shortest and the furest method of arriving at real knowledge is to unlearn the leffons we have been taught, to remount to first principles, and take no body's word about them; for it is about them that almost all the juggling gling and legerdemain, employed by men whose trade it is to deceive, are set to work.

Now he who does fo, in this cafe, will discover soon, that the notions concerning the divine institution and right of kings, as well as the absolute power belonging to their office, have no foundation in fact or reason, but have risen from an old alliance between ecclefiastcial and civil policy. The characters of king and priest have been sometimes blended together; and when they have been divided. as kings have found the great effects wrought in government by the empire which priests obtain over the consciences of mankind, so priests have been taught by experience, that the best method to preserve their own rank, dignity, wealth, and power, all raifed upon a supposed divine right is to communicate the same pretention to kings, and by a fallacy common to both, impose their usurpations on a filly world. This they have done: and in the flate as in the church, these pretenfions to a divine right have been generally carried highest by those, who have had the least pretention to the divine favour.

F 4

It is worth while to observe, on what principle some men were advanced to a great pre-eminence over others, in the early ages of those nations that are a little known to us: I speak not of such as raised themselves by conquest, but of such as were raifed by common confent. Now you will find in all these proceedings an entire uniformity of principle. The authors of fuch inventions as were of general use to the well-being of mankind, were not only reverenced and obeyed during their lives, but worshipped after their deaths: they became principal Gods, Dii majorum gentium. The founders of commonwealths, the law givers, and the heroes of particular states, became Gods of a second class, Dii minorum gentium. All pre-eminence was given in heaven, as well as on earth, in proportion to the benefits that men received. Majesty was the first, and divinity the second reward. Both were earned by fervices done to mankind, whom it was easy to lead in those days of simplicity and superstition, from

from admiration and gratitude, to adoration and expectation.

When advantage had been taken by fome particular men of these dispositions in the generality, and religion and government were become two trades or mysteries, new means of attaining to this pre-eminence were foon devifed, and new and even contrary motives worked the Merit had given rank; but same effect. rank was foon kept, and, which is more preposterous, obtained too, without me-Men were then made kings for reafons as little relative to good government, as the neighing of the horse of the son of HYSTASPES.

But the most prevalent, and the general motive was proximity of blood, to the last, not to the best king. Nobility in China mount upwards, and he who has it conferred upon him, enobles his ancestors, not his posterity. A wise institution! and especially among a people in whose minds a great veneration for their forefathers has been always carefully maintained. But in China, as well as in most other countries,

royalty

royalty has descended, and kingdoms have been reckoned the patrimonies of particular families.

I have read in one of the historians of the latter Roman empire, historians, by the way, that I will not advise others to mispend their time in reading, that SA+ POREs the famous king of Perha, against whom Julian made the expedition wherein he lost his life, was crowned in his mother's womb. His father left her with child. the magi declared that the child would be a male; whereupon the royal enfigns were brought forth, they were placed on her majesty's belly, and the princes and the fatrapes proftrate recognized the embryo-monarch. But to take a more known example out of multitudes that present themselves, Domitian the worst, and TRAJAN the best of princes. were promoted to the empire by the same DOMITIAN was the fon of FLAvius, and the brother, though possibly the poisoner too, of TITUS VESPASIAN : TRAJAN was the adopted fon of NERVA. Hereditary right served the purpose of

one, as well as of the other: and if TRAJAN was translated to a place among the gods, this was no greater a distinction than some of the worst of his predecesfors and his fucceffors obtained, for reafons generally as good as that which SE-NECA puts into the mouth of DIESPI-TER in the apokolekyntofis of CLAUDIUS. Cum sit ei reipublica esse aliquem qui cum Romulo possit ferventia rapa vorare. fay the truth, it would have been a wifer measure to have made these royal persons gods at once: as gods they would have done neither good nor hurt: but as emperors, in their way to divinity, they acted like devils.

If my readers are ready by this time to think me antimonarchial, and in particular an enemy to the succession of kings by hereditary right, I hope to be soon restored to their good opinion. I esteem monarchy above any other form of government, and hereditary monarchy above elective. I reverence kings, their office, their rights, their persons; and it will never be owing to the principles I am going to establish, because

because the character and government of a Patriot King can be established on no other, if their office and their right are not always held divine, and their persons always sacred.

Now we are fubject, by the constitution of human nature, and therefore by the will of the Author of this and every other nature, to two laws. One given immediately to all men by God, the same to all, and obligatory alike on all. other given to man by man; and therefore not the fame to all, nor obligatory alike on all: founded indeed on the same principles, but varied by different applications of them to times, to characters, and to a number which may be reckoned infinite, of other circumstances. By the first you see, that I mean the universal law of reason; and by the second the particular law, or conflitution of laws, by which every diffinct community has chosen to be governed.

The obligation of submission to both, is discoverable by so clear and so simple an use of our intellectual faculties, that it

may

may be faid properly enough to be revealed to us by God; and though both these laws cannot be faid properly to be given by Him, yet our obligation to fubmit to the civil law is a principal paragraph in the natural law, which he has most manifestly given us. In truth we can no more doubt of the obligations of both these laws, than of the existence of the lawgiver. As supreme lord over all his works, his general providence regards immediately the great commonwealth of mankind; but then, as supreme Lord likewife, his authority gives a fanction to the particular bodies of law which are made under it. The law of nature is the law of all his subjects: the constitutions of particular governments are like the bylaws of cities, or the appropriated cuftoms of provinces. It follows, therefore, that he who breaks the laws of bis country. relists the ordinance of God, that is, the God has instituted law of his nature. neither monarchy, nor aristocracy, nor democracy, nor mixed government: but though

though God has instituted no particular form of government among men, yet by the general laws of his kingdom, he exacts our obedience to the laws of those communities to which each of us is attached by birth, or to which we may be attached by a subsequent and lawful engagement.

From such plain, unrefined, and therefore I suppose true reasoning, the just authority of kings, and the due obedience of subjects, may be deduced with the utmost certainty. And surely it is far better for kings themselves to have their authority thus sounded on principles incontestible, and on fair deductions from them, than on the chimeras of madmen, or, what has been more common, the sophisms of knaves. A bum an right, that cannot be controverted, is preserable surely to a pretended divine right, which every man must believe implicitly, as sew will do, or not believe at all.

But the principles we have laid down do not stop here. A divine right in kings kings is to be deduced evidently from them, A divine right to govern well, and conformably to the conflitution at the head of which they are placed. A divine right to govern ill, is an absurdity: to affert it is blasphemy. A people may choose, or hereditary succession may raise, a bad prince to the throne; but a good king alone can derive his right to govern from God. The reason is plain: good government alone can be in the divine intention. God has made us to defire happiness; he has made our happiness dependent on society; and the happiness of society dependent on good or bad government. His intention therefore was, that government should be good.

This is effential to his wisdom; for wisdom consists surely in proportioning means to ends: therefore it cannot be said without absurd impiety, that he confers a right

to oppose his intention.

The office of kings is then of right divine, and their persons are to be reputed sacred. As men, they have no such right,

right, no fuch facredness belonging to them: as kings they have both, unless they forfeit them. Reverence for government obliges to reverence governors, who for the fake of it, are raifed above the level of other men: but reverence for governors, independently of government, any further than reverence would be due to their virtues if they were private men, is preposterous, and repugnant The spring from to common sense. which this legal reverence, for fo I may call it, arises, is national, not personal. As well might we fay that a ship is built, and loaded, and manned, for the fake of any particular pilot, instead of acknowledging that the pilot is made for the fake of the ship, her lading, and her crew, who are always the owners in the political veffel, as to fay that kingdoms were instituted for kings, not kings for kingdoms. In short, and to carry our allusion higher, majesty is not an inherent, but a reflected light.

All this is as true of elective, as it is of bereditary monarchs; though the scriblers for tyranny, under the name of monarchy, would have us believe that there is fomething more august, and more sacred in one than the other. They are facred alike, and this attribute is to be ascribed, or not ascribed to them, as they answer, or do not answer, the Ends of their institution. But there is another comparison to be made, in which a great and most important diffimilitude will be found between hereditary and elective Nothing can be more abmonarchy. furd, in pure speculation, than an hereditary right in any mortal to govern other men: and yet, in practice, nothing can be more absurd than to have a king to choose at every vacancy of a throne. draw at a lottery indeed in one case, where there are many chances to lofe, and few to gain. But have we much more advantage of this kind in the other? I think not. Upon these, and upon most occasions, the multitude would do at least

least as well to trust to chance as choice, and to their fortune as to their judgment. But in another respect the advantage is entirely on the fide of hereditary fucceffion: for in elective monarchies, these elections, whether well or ill made, are often attended with fuch national calamities, that even the best reigns cannot make amends for them; whereas in hereditary monarchy, whether a good or a bad prince fucceeds, these calamities are avoided. There is one fource of evil the less open: and one fource of evil the less in human affairs, where there are so many, is sufficient to decide. We may lament the imperfections of our human state, which is fuch, that in cases of the utmost importance to the order and good government of fociety, and by confequence to the happiness of our kind, we are reduced, by the very constitution of our nature, to have no part to take that our reason can approve abiolutely. But though we lament it, we must submit to it. We must tell ourselves once for all, that perfect schemes are not adapted to our imperfect state; that Stoical morals and Platonic politics are nothing better than amusements for those who have had little experience in the affairs of the world, and who have much leisure, verbo otioforum senum ad imperitos juvenes; which was the cenfure, and a just one too, that DIONYSIUS past on some of the doctrines of the father of the academy. In truth, all that human prudence can do, is to furnish expedients, and to compound as it were with general vice and folly; employing reason to act even against her own principles, and teaching us, if I may fay fo, infanire cum ratione, which appears on many occasions not to be the paradox it has been thought.

To conclude this head therefore, as I think a limited monarchy the best of governments, so I think an hereditary monarchy the best of monarchies. I said a limited monarchy; for an unlimited monarchy, wherein arbitrary will, which is in truth no rule, is however the sole rule,

or stands instead of all rule of government, is so great an absurdity, both in reason informed or ininformed by experience, that it seems a government fitter for savages than for civilized people.

But I think it proper to explain a little more what I mean, when I say a limited monarchy, that I may leave nothing untouched which ought to be taken into consideration by us, when we attempt to fix our ideas of a PATRIOT KING.

Among many reasons which determine me to prefer monarchy to every form of government, this is a principal one. When monarchy is the effential form, it may be more eafily and more usefully tempered with aristrocracy or democracy, or both, than either of them, when they are the effential forms, can be tempered with mon-It feems to me, that the introduction of a real permanent monarchical power, or any thing more than the pageantry of it, into either of these, must destroy them and extinguish them, as a great light extinguishes a less. Whereas it may eafily be shewn, and the true form

form of our government will demonstrate, without seeking any other example, that very considerable aristocratical and democratical powers may be grasted on a monarchical stock, without diminishing the lustre, or restraining the power and authority of the prince, enough to alter in any degree the essential form.

A great difference is made in nature, and therefore the distinction should be always preferved in our notions, between two things that we are apt to confound in fpeculation, as they have been confounded in practice, legislative and monarchical power. There must be an absolute, unlimited, and uncontroulable power lodged fomewhere in every government; but to constitute monarchy, or the government of a fingle person, it is not necessary that this power should be lodged in the monarch alone. It is no more necessary that he should exclusively and independently establish the rule of his government, than it is, that he should govern without any rule at all: and this furely will be thought reasonable by no man.

 G_3

I would

I would not fay God governs by a rule that we know, or may know as well as he, and upon our knowledge of which he appeals to men for the justice of his proceedings towards them; which a famous divine has impioufly advanced, in a pretended demonstration of his being and attributes. God forbid! But this I may fay, that God does always that which is fittest to be done, and that this fitness, whereof neither that prefumptuous dogmatist was, nor any created being is, a competent judge, refults from the various natures, and more various relations of things; fo that, as creator of all fystems by which these natures and relations are constituted, he prescribed to himself the rule, which he follows as governor of every fystem of being. In short, with reverence be it spoken, God is a monarch, yet not an arbitrary, but a limited monarch, limited by the rule which infinite wildom prescribes to infinite power. I know well enough the impropriety of these expressions; but when our ideas are inadequate,

quate, our expressions must needs be im-Such conceptions however as proper. we are able to form of these attributes. and of the exercise of them in the government of the universe, may serve to shew what I have produced them to shew. If governing without any rule, and by arbitrary will, be not essential to our idea of the monarchy of the Supreme Being, it is plainly ridiculous to suppose them necessarily included in the idea of a buman monarchy: and though God in his eternal ideas, for we are able to conceive no other manner of knowing, has prescribed to himself that rule by which he governs the universe he created; it will be just as ridiculous to affirm, that the idea of human monarchy cannot be preserved, if kings are obliged to govern according to a rule established by the wisdom of a state, that was a state before they were kings, and by the confent of a people that they did not most certainly create; especially when the whole executive power is exclusively in their hands, and the legisla-

G 4

tive

tive power cannot be exercised without their concurrence.

There are limitations indeed that would destroy the effential form of monarchy; or, in other words, a monarchical constitution may be changed, under pretence of This happened limiting the monarch. among us in the last century, when the vilest usurpation, and the most infamous tyranny were established over our nation, by some of the worst and some of the meanest men in it. I will not say, that the effential form of monarchy should be preserved, though the preservation of it were to cause the loss of liberty. Salus reip. suprema lex esto, is a fundamental law; and fure I am, the fafety of a commonwealth is ill provided for, if the liberty be given up. But this I presume to say, and can demonstrate, that all the limitations necessary to preserve liberty, as long as the spirit of it subfifts, and longer than that, no limitations of monarchy, nor any other form of government, can preserve it, are compatible with monarchy. I think on thefe

these subjects, neither as the Tories, nor as the Whigs have thought: at least I endeavoured to avoid the excesses of both. I neither dress up kings like so many burlesque Jupiters, weighing the fortunes of mankind in the scales of fate, and darting thunderbolts at the heads of rebellious giants: nor do I strip them naked, as it were, and leave them at most a few tattered rags to clothe their majesty, but such as can ferve really as little for use as for ornament. My aim is to fix this principle, that limitations on a crown ought to be carried as far as it is necessary to fecure the liberties of a people; and that all fuch limitations may subsist, without weakening or endangering monarchy.

I shall be told perhaps, for I have heard it said by many, that this point is imaginary, and that limitations sufficient to procure good government, and to secure liberty under a bad prince, cannot be made, unless they are such as will deprive the subjects of many benefits in the reign of a good prince, clog his administration,

stration, maintain an unjust jealousy between him and his people, and occasion a defect of power, necessary to preserve the public tranquillity, and to promote the national prosperity. If this was true, here would be a much more melancholy instance of the imperfections of our nature, and of the inefficacy of our reason to supply this imperfection, than the former. In the former, reason prompted by experience avoids a certain evil effectually, and is able to provide, in some measure, against the contingent evils that may arise from the expedient itself. But in the latter, if what is there advanced was true, these provisions against contingent evils would, in some cases, be the occasions of much certain evil, and of positive good in none: under a good prince they would render the administration defective; and under a bad one there would be no government at all. But the truth is widely different from this representation. The limitations necessary to preserve liberty under monarchy will restrain effectually effectually a bad prince, without being ever felt as shackles by a good one. Our conflitution is brought, or almost brought, to such a point, a point of perfection I think it, that no king who is not, in the true meaning of the word, a patriot, can govern Britain with ease, security, bonour, dignity, or indeed with sufficient power and strength. But yet a king who is a patriot, may govern with all the former; and besides them, with power as extended as the most absolute monarch can boast, and a power too far more agreeable in the enjoyment, as well as more effectual in the operation.

To attain these great and noble ends, the patriotism must be real, and not in shew alone. It is something to desire to appear a patriot: and the desire of having same is a step towards deserving it, because it is a motive the more to deserve it. If it be true, as TACITUS says, Contemptu same contemni virtutem, that a contempt of a good name, or indisservence about it, begets or accompanies always

always a contempt of virtue, the contrary will be true; and they are certainly both But this motive alone is not sufficient. To constitute a patriot, whether king or fubject, there must be something more substantial than a defire of fame, in the composition: and if there be not, this defire of fame will never rife above that fentiment which may be compared to the coquetry of women; a fondness of transient applause, which is courted by vanity, given by flattery, and fpends itself in shew, like the qualities which acquire it. Patriotism must be founded in great principles, and supported by great virtues. The chief of these principles I have endeavoured to trace; and I will not scruple to affert, that a man can be a good king upon no other. He may, without them and by complexion, be unambitious, generous, good-natured; without them the exercise even of these virtues will be often ill directed: and with principles of another fort, he will be drawn eafily, notwithstanding these vir-

tues.

tues from all the purposes of his insti-

I mention these opposite principles the rather, because, instead of wondering that fo many kings, unfit and unworthy to be trufted with the government of mankind, appear in the world, I have been tempted to wonder that there are any tolerable: when I have confidered the flattery that environs them most commonly from the cradle, and the tendency of all those false notions that are instilled into them by precept, and by example, by the habits of courts, and by the interested selfish views of courtiers. are bred to esteem themselves of a distinct and superior species among men, as men are among animals.

LEWIS the Fourteenth was a strong instance of the effect of this education, which trains up kings to be tyrant, without knowing that they are so. That oppression under which he kept his people, during the whole course of a long reign, might proceed, in some degree, from the matural

natural haughtiness of his temper; but it proceeded in a greater degree, from the principles and habits of his education. By this he had been brought to look on his kingdom as a patrimony that descended to him from his ancestors, and that was to be confidered in no other light: fo that when a very confiderable man had discoursed to him at large of the miserable condition to which his people was reduced, and had frequently used this word, Petat; though the king approved the substance of all he had said, yet he was shocked at the frequent repetition of this word, and complained of it as of a kind of indecency to himself. This will not appear so strange to our second, as it may very justly to our first reflections; for what wonder is it, that princes are eafily betrayed into an error that takes its rife in the general imperfection of our nature, in our pride, our vanity, and our prefumption? the baftard-children, but the children still, of self-love; a spurious brood, but often a favourite brood, that governs

governs the whole family. As men are apt to make themselves the measure of all being, so they make themselves the final cause of all creation. Thus the reputed orthodox philosophers in all ages have taught that the world was made for man, the earth for him to inhabit, and all the luminous bodies in the immense expanse around us, for him to gaze at. Kings do no more, no not so much, when they imagine themselves the final cause for which societies were formed, and governments instituted.

This capital error, in which almost every prince is confirmed by his education, has so great extent and so general influence, that a right to do every iniquitous thing in government may be derived from it. But as if this was not enough, the characters of princes are spoiled many more ways by their education. I shall not descend into a detail of such particulars, nor presume so much as to hint what regulations might be made about the education of princes, nor what part

our

our parliaments might take occasionally in this momentous affair, lest I should appear too refining or too presumptuous in my speculations. But I may affert in general, that the indifference of mankind upon this head, especially in a government constituted like ours, is monstrous.

I may also take notice of another cause of the mistakes of princes, I mean the general conduct of those who are brought near to their persons. Such men, let me fay, have a particular duty arifing from this very fituation; a duty common to them all, because it arises not from their stations which are different, but from their fituation, which is the same. To enumerate the various applications of this duty would be too minute and tedious; but this may fuffice, that all fuch men should bear constantly in mind, that the master they serve is to be the king of their country; that their attachment to him, therefore, is not to be like that of other fervants to other masters, for bis fake alone, or for his fake and their own, but for the fake of their country likewife.

CRATERUS loves the king, but HE-PHESTION loves ALEXANDER, Was a faying of the latter that has been often quoted, but not cenfured as it ought to be. ALEXANDER gave the preference to the attachment of HEPHESTION: but this preference was due undoubtedly to that of CRATERUS. Attachment to a private person must comprehend a great concern for his character and his interests: but attachment to one who is, or may be a king, much more; because the character of the latter is more important to himself and others; and because his interests are vastly more complicated with those of his country, and in some fort with those of mankind, ALEXAN-DER himself seemed, upon one occasion, to make the diffinction that should be always made between our attachments to a prince, and to any private person. It was when PARMENIO advised him to accept the terms of peace which DARIUS offered: they were great, he thought them fo; but he thought, no matter for H my my purpose whether justly or not, that it would be unbecoming him to accept them; therefore he rejected them, but acknowledged, that "he would have done "as he was advised to do, if he had been "PARMENIO."

As to persons who are not about a prince in the fituation here spoken of, they can do little more than proportion their applause, and the demonstrations of their confidence and affection, to the benefits they actually receive from the prince on the throne, or to the just expectations that a fuccessor gives them. It is of the latter I propose to speak here particularly. If he gives them those of a good reign, we may affure ourselves that they will carry, and in this case they ought to carry, that applause, and those demonstrations of their confidence and affection. as high as fuch a prince himself can defire. Thus the prince and the people take, in effect a fort of engagement with one another; the prince to govern well, and the people to honour and obey him. If he

he gives them expectations of a bad reign, they have this obligation to him at least, that he puts them early on their guard. And an obligation, and an advantage it will be, if they prepare for his accession as for a great and inevitable evil; and if they guard on every occasion against the ill use they foresee that he will make of money and power. Above all, they should not suffer themselves to be caught in the common fnare, which is laid under specious pretences of " gaining fuch a prince, " and of keeping him by public compli-" ances out of bad bands." That argument has been pressed more than once, has prevailed, and has been fruitful of most pernicious consequences. None indeed can be more abfurd: it is not unlike the reasoning of those savages who worship the devil, not because they love him or honour him, or expect any good from him, but that he may do them no hurt. it is more abfurd; for the savages suppose, that the devil bas independently of them the power to hurt them: whereas the others H 2 put put more power into the hands of a prince, because he has already some power to hurt them; and trust to the justice and gratitude of one who wants sense, virtue, or both, rather than increase and fortify the barriers against his folly and his vices.

But the truth is, that men who reason and act in this manner, either mean, or else are lead by such as mean, nothing more than to make a private court at the public expence: who chuse to be the instruments of a bad king rather than to be out of power; and who are often so wicked, that they would prefer such a service to that of the best of kings. In fine, these reasons, and every other reason for providing against a bad reign in prospect, acquire a new force when one weak or wicked prince is, in the order of fuccession, to follow another of the same character. Such provisions indeed are bardest to be obtained when they are the most necesfary; that is, when the spirit of liberty begins to flag in a free people, and when they become disposed by habits that have grown infenfibly upon them, to a base fub-

fubmission. But they are necessary too even when they are easiest to be obtained; that is, when the spirit of liberty is in full strength, and a disposition to oppose all instances of male-administration, and to refist all attempts on liberty, is universal. In both cases, the endeavours of every man who loves his country will be employed with inceffant care and constancy to obtain them; that good government and liberty may be the better preserved and fecured: but in the latter case, for this further reason also, that the preservation and fecurity of these may be provided for, not only better, but more confiftently with public tranquility, by constitutional methods, and a legal course of opposition to the excesses of regal or ministerial power. What I touch upon here might be made extremely plain; and I think the observation would appear to be of no small importance: but I should be carried too far from my subject, and my subject will afford me matter of more agreeable speculation.

H 3

It is true, that a prince who gives just reasons to expect that his reign will be that of a PATRIOT KING, may not always meet, and from all persons, such returns as such expectations deserve: but they must not hinder either the prince from continuing to give them, or the people from continuing to acknowledge them. United none can hurt them: and if no artifice interrupts, no power can defeat the effects of their perseverance. It will blaft many a wicked project, keep virtue in countenance, and vice to some degree at least in awe. Nay, if it should fail to have these effects, if we should even suppose a good prince to suffer with the people, and in some measure for them, yet many advantages would accrue to him: for instance, the cause of the people he is to govern, and his own cause, would be made the same by their common enemies. He would feel grievances himself as a subject, before he had the power of imposing them as a king. He would be formed in that school out

out of which the greatest and the best of monarchs have come, the school of affliction: and all the vices, which had prevailed before his reign, would serve as so many soils to the glories of it. But I hasten to speak of the greatest of all these advantages, and of that which a PATRIOT KING will esteem to be such; whose ways of thinking and acting to so glorious a purpose as the re-establishment of a free constitution, when it has been shook by the iniquity of former adminishrations, I shall endeavour to explain.

What I have here said will pass among some for the reveries of a distempered brain, at best for the vain speculations of an idle man who has lost sight of the world, or who had never sagacity enough to discern in government the practicable from the impracticable. Will it not be said, that this is advising a king to rouze a spirit which may turn against himself; to reject the sole expedient of governing a limited monarchy with success, to labour to confine, instead of labouring to extend

H 4

his

his power; to patch up an old constitution, which his people are disposed to lay afide, instead of forming a new one more agreeable to them, and more advantageous to him; to refuse, in short, to be an absolute monarch, when every circumstance invites him to it? All these particulars, in every one of which the question is begged, will be thus represented, and will be then ridiculed as paradoxes fit to be ranked among the mirabilia & inopinata of the stoics, and such as no man in his senses can maintain in earnest. These judgments and these reasonings may be expected in an age as futile and as corrupt as ours: in an age wherein fo many betray the cause of liberty, and act not only without regard, but in direct opposition to the most important interests of their country; not only occasionally, by surprize, by weakness, by strong temptation, or sly seduction, but constantly, steadily, by deliberate choice, and in pursuance of principles they avow, and propagate: in an age when so many others others shrink from the service of their country, or promote it cooly and uncertainly, in subordination to their own interest and humour, or to those of a party: in an age, when to affert the truth is called spreading of delusion, and to affert the cause of liberty and good government, is termed sowing of sedition. But I have declared already my unconcernedness at the censure or ridicule of such men as these; for whose supposed abilities I have much well-grounded contempt, and against whose real immorality I have as just indignation.

Let us come therefore to the bar of reafon and experience, where we shall find these paradoxes admitted as plain and almost self-evident propositions, and these reveries and vain speculations as important truths, confirmed by experience in all ages and all countries.

MACHIAVEL is an author who should have great authority with the persons likely to oppose me. He proposes to princes the amplification of their power, the ex-

tent

tent of their dominion, and the subjection of their people, as the fole objects of their policy. He devises and recommends all means that tend to these purposes, without the confideration of any duty owing to God or man, or any regard to the morality or immorality of actions. Yet even he declares the affectation of virtue to be useful to princes: he is so far on my side in the present question. The only difference between us is, I would have the virtue real: he requires no more than the appearance of it was a second of the second

In the tenth chapter of the first book of Discourses, he appears convinced, such is the force of truth, but how confistently with himself let others determine, that the supreme glory of a prince accrues to him who establishes good government and a free constitution; and that a prince, ambitious of fame, must wish to come into possession of a disordered and corrupted state, not to finish the wicked work that others have begun, and to compleat the ruin, but to stop the progress of the first, and

and to prevent the last. He thinks this not only the true way to fame, but to fecurity and quiet; as the contrary leads, for here is no third way, and a prince must make his option between these two, not only to infamy, but to danger and to perpetual disquietude. He represents those who might establish a commonwealth or a legal monarchy, and who chuse to improve the opportunity of establishing tyranny, that is, monarchy without any rule of law, as men who are deceived by false notions of good, and false appearances of glory, and who are in effect blind to their true interest in every respect: Ne si auvegono per questo partito quanta fama, quanta gloria, quanto bonore, sicurta, quiete, con satisfatione d'animo e' fuggono, & in quanta infamia, vituperio, biasimo, pericolo & inquietudine incorrono. He touches another advantage which patriot princes reap: and in that he contradicts flatly the main point on which his half-taught He denies, that fuch scholars insist. princes diminsb their power by circum-Scribing fcribing it; and affirms, with truth on his fide, that TIMOLEON, and others of the same character whom he had cited, posfeffed as great authority in their country. with every other advantage besides, as DIONYSIUS OF PHALARIS had acquired, with the loss of all those advantages. Thus far MACHIAVEL reasons justly; but he takes in only a part of his subject, and confines himself to those motives that should determine a wife prince to maintain liberty, because it is his interest to do fo. He rifes no higher than the confideration of mere interest, of fame, of fecurity, of quiet, and of power, all perfonal to the prince: and by fuch motives alone even his favourite BORGIA might have been determined to affect the virtues of a patriot prince: more than which this great doctor in political knowledge would not have required of him. But he is far from going up to that motive which should above all determine a good prince to hold this conduct, because it is his duty to do so; a duty that he owes to God by

A PATRIOT KING. 100

one law, and to his people by another. Now it is with this that I shall begin what I intend to offer concerning the system of principles and conduct by which a Patriot King will govern himself and his people. I shall not only begin higher, but descend into more detail, and keep still in my eye the application of the whole to the constitution of Great Britain, even to the present state of our nation, and temper of our people.

I think enough has been already said, to establish the first and true principles of monarchical and indeed of every other kind of government: and I will say with confidence, but no principles but these, and such as these, can be advanced, which deserve to be treated seriously, though Mr. Lock condescended to examine those of FILMER, more out of regard to the prejudices of the time, than to the importance of the work. Upon such soundations we must conclude, that since men were directed by nature to form societies, because they cannot by their nature sub-siste

fift without them, nor in a state of individuality; and fince they were directed in like manner to establish governments, because societies cannot be maintained without them, nor subsist in a state of anarchy; the ultimate end of all governments is the good of the people, for whose fake they were made, and without whose consent they could not have been made. In forming focieties, and fubmitting to government, men give up part of that liberty to which they are all born, and all But why? Is government incompatible with a full enjoyment of liberty? By no means. But because popular liberty without government will degenerate into licence, as government without fufficient liberty will degenerate into tyranny, they are mutually necessary to each other, good government to support legal liberty, and legal liberty to preserve good government.

I speak not here of people, if any such there are, who have been savage or stupid enough to submit to tyranny by original contract? nor of those nations on whom

whom tyranny has stolen as it were imperceptibly, or been imposed by violence, and fettled by prescription. I shall exercife no political casuistry about the rights of fuch kings, and the obligations of fuch people. Men are to take their lots, perhaps, in governments as in climates, to fence against the inconveniences of both, and to bear what they cannot alter. But I speak of people who have been wife and happy enough to establish, and to preserve free constitutions of government, as the people of this island have done. To these therefore I fay, that their kings are under the most facred obligations that buman law can create, and divine law authorize, to de+ fend and maintain, in the first place, and preferably to every other confideration, the freedom of such constitutions.

The good of the people is the ultimate and true end of government. Governours are therefore appointed for this end, and the civil constitution which appoints them, and invests them with their power, is determined to do so by that law of nature and reason, which has determined the

this form of government as the proper mean of arriving at it. Now the greatest good of a people is their liberty: and in the case here referred to, the people has judged it so, and provided for it accordingly, Liberty is to the collective body what bealth is to every individual body. Without bealth no pleasure can be tasted by man: without liberty no happiness can be enjoyed by society. The obligation, therefore, to defend and maintain the fredom of such constitutions, will appear most sacred to a Patriot King.

Kings who have weak understandings, bad hearts, and strong prejudices, and all these, as it often happens, instanted by their passions, and rendered incurable by their self-conceit and presumption; such kings are apt to imagine, and they conduct themselves so as to make many of their subjects imagine, that the king and the people in free governments are rival powers, who stand in competition with one another, who have different interests, and must of course have different views: that

the rights and privileges of the people are so many spoils taken from the right and prerogative of the crown; and that the rules and laws, made for the exercise and security of the former, are so many diminutions of their dignity, and restraints on their power,

A Patriot King will fee all this in a far different, and much truer light. The constitution will be considered by him as one law, confisting of two tables, containing the rule of his government, and the measure of his subjects obedience; or as one system, composed of different parts and powers, but all duly proportioned to one another, and conspiring by their harmony to the perfection of the whole. He will make one, and but one distinction between his rights, and those of his people: he will look on his to be a trust, and theirs a property. He will difcern, that he can have a right to no more than is trusted to him by the constitution: and that his people, who had an original right to the whole by the law of nature, can have the fole indefeazable right to any part: part; and really have such a right to that part which they have reserved to themselves. In fine the constitution will be reverenced by him as the law of God and of man; the force of which binds the king as much as the meanest subject, and the reason of which binds him much more.

Thus he will think, and on these principles he will act, whether he come to the throne by immediate or remote election. I say remote; for in hereditary monarchies, where men are not elected, families are: and therefore fome authors would have it believed, that when a family has been once admitted, and an hereditary right to the crown recognized in it, that right cannot be forfeited, nor that throne become vacant, as long as any heir of the family remains. How much more agreeably to truth and to common fense would these authors have written, if they had maintained, that every prince who comes to a crown in the course of succession, were he the last of five hundred, comes to it under the fame conditions under which the first took it, whether expressed or implied; as well as under those, if any fuch there be, which have been fince made by legal authority: and that royal blood can give no right, nor length of fucceffion any prescription, against the constitution of a government? the first and the last hold by the same tenure.

I mention this the rather, because I have an imperfect remembrance, that some fcribler was employed, or employed himfelf, to affert the hereditary right of the present royal family. A task so unnecessary to any good purpose; that I believe a suspicion arose of its having been designed for a bad one. A Patriot King will never countenance such impertinent fallacies, nor deign to lean on broken reeds. He knows that his right his founded in the laws of God and man, that none can shake it but himself, and that his own virtue is sufficient to maintain it against all opposition.

I have dwelt the longer on the first and general principles of monarchial government, and have recurred the oftener to them; because it seems to me that they

and ded as been and I 2, to the to and are

are the feeds of patriotism, which must be fowed as foon as possible in the mind of a prince, left their growth should be checked by rank luxuriant weeds, which are apt to abound in fuch foils, and under which no crop of kingly virtues can ever flourish. A prince, who does not know the true principles, cannot propose to himfelf the true ends of government : and he, who does not propose them, will never direct his conduct steadily to them. There is not a deeper, not a finer observation in all my Lord Bacon's works, than one which I shall apply and paraphrase on this occasion. The most compendious, the most noble, and the most effectual remedy which can be opposed to the uncertain and irregular motions of the human mind, agitated by various passions, allured by various temptations, inclining fometimes towards a state of moral perfection, and oftener even in the best towards a state of moral depravation, is this. We must chuse betimes such virtuous objects as are proportioned to the means we have of purfuing them, and as belong parparticularly to the flations we are in, and to the duties of those stations. We must determine and fix our minds in such manner upon them, that the pursuit of them may become the bufiness, and the attainment of them the end of our whole lives. Thus we shall imitate the great operations of nature, and not the feeble, flow, and imperfect operations of art. We must not proceed, in forming the moral character, as a statuary proceeds in forming a statue, who works sometimes on the face, sometimes on one part, and sometimes on another: but we must proceed, and it is in our power to proceed, as nature does in forming a flower, an animal, or any other of her productions: rudimenta partium omnium simul parit & producit. " She throws out altogether, and " at once, the whole fystem of every be-" ing, and the rudiments of all the parts." The vegetable or the animal grows in bulk, and increases in strength; but is the same from the first. Just so our Patriot King must be a patriot from the first. He must be such in resolution, before he grows

I 3

fuch

grows fuch in practice. He must fix at once the general principles and ends of all his actions, and determine that his whole conduct shall be regulated by them, and directed to them. When he has done this, he will have turned, by one great effort, the bent of his mind so strongly towards the perfection of a kingly character, that he will exercise with ease, and as it were by a natural determination, all the virtues of it; which will be fuggested to him on every occasion by the principles wherewith his mind is imbued, and by those ends that are the constant objects of his attention.

Let us then fee in what manner, and with what effect he will do this, upon the greatest occasion he can have of exercifing these virtues, the maintenance of liberty, and the re-establishment of a freeconstitution.

The freedom of a constitution rests on two points. The orders of it are one: fo MACHIAVEL calls them, and I know not how to call them more fignificantly. He means not only the forms and cuf-

toms,

toms, but the different classes and affemblies of men, with different powers and privileges attributed to them, which are established in the state. The spirit and character of a people are the other. On the mutual conformity and harmony of these the preservation of liberty depends. To take away, or effentially to alter the former, cannot be brought to pass, whilst the latter remains in original purity and vigour: nor can liberty be destroyed by this method, unless the attempt be made with a military force sufficient to conquer the nation, which would not submit in this case till it was conquered, nor with much fecurity to the conquerer even then. But these orders of the state may be effentially altered, and ferve more effectually to the destruction of liberty than the taking of them away would ferve, if the spirit and character of the people are loft.

Now this method of destroying liberty is the most dangerous on many accounts, particularly on this; that even the reign of the weakest prince, and the policy of

It may feem a fingular, but it is perhaps a true proposition, that such a king and

not indeed to make a deposit below, and to

remain there, but to pervade the whole body.

and fuch a ministry are more likely to begin and to purfue with fuccess, this method of destroying a free constitution of government, than a king and a ministry that were held in great esteem would be. This very esteem might put many on their guard against the latter; but the former may draw from contempt the advantage of not being feared: and an advantage this is in the beginning of corruption. Men are willing to excuse, not only to others, but to themselves, the first steps they take in vice, and especially in vice that affects the public, and whereof the public has a right to complain. Those therefore who might withstand corruption in one case, from a persuasion that the consequence was too certain to leave them any excuse, may yield to it when they can flatter themselves, and endeavour to flatter others, that liberty cannot be destroyed, nor the constitution be demolished by such hands as hold the scepter, and guide the reins of the administration. But alas! the flattery is gross, and the excuse without colour. These men may ruin their country,

but they cannot impose on any, unless it be on themselves. Nor will even this imposition on themselves be long necessary. Their consciences will be soon seared, by babit and by example: and they, who wanted an excuse to begin, will want none to continue and to compleat the tragedy of their country. Old men will outlive the shame of losing liberty, and young men will arife who know not that it ever existed. A spirit of slavery will oppose and oppress the spirit of liberty, and seem at least to be the genius of the nation. Such too it will become in time, when corruption has once grown to this height, unless the progress of it can be interrupted.

How inestimable a blessing therefore must the succession of a Patriot King be esteemed in such circumstances as these, which would be a blessing, and a great one too, in any other? He, and he alone, can save a country whose ruin is so far advanced. The utmost that private men can do, who remain untainted by the general contagion, is to keep the spirit of liberty alive in a sew breasts; to protest against

A PATRIOT KING. 123

against what they cannot hinder, and to claim on every occasion what they cannot by their own strength recover.

MACHIABUL has treated, in the difcourses before cited, this question, ' whether, when the people are grown corrupt, a free government can be main-' tained, if they enjoy it; or established, f if they enjoy it not?' And upon the whole matter he concludes for the difficulty, or rather the impossibility, of fucceeding in either case. It will be worth while to observe his way of reasoning. He afferts very truly, and proves by the example of the Roman commonwealth, that those orders which are proper to maintain liberty, whilst a people remain uncorrupt, become improper and hurtful to liberty when a people is grown corrupt. To remedy this abuse, new laws alone will not be fufficient. These orders therefore must be changed, according to him, and the constitution must be adapted to the depraved manners of the people. He shews, that such a change in the orders, and constituent parts of the government,

is impracticable, whether the attempt be made by gentle and flow, or by violent and precipitate measures: and from thence he concludes, that a free commonwealth can neither be maintained by a corrupt people, nor be established among them. But he adds, that ' if this can possibly be done, ' it must be done by drawing the constitution to the monarchical form of govern-' ment,' accioche quelli huomini i quali dalle leggi non possono essere corretti, fussero da una podestá, in qualche modo frenati. ' That a corrupt people, whom law cannot correct, may be restrained and corrected by a kingly power.' Here is the hinge on which the whole turns.

Another advantage that a free monarchy has over all other forms of free government, besides the advantage of being more easily and more usefully tempered with aristocratical and democratical powers, which is mentioned above, is this. Those governments are made up of different parts, and are apt to be disjointed by the shocks to which they are exposed: but a free monarchical government is more compact compact, because there is a part the more that keeps like the key-stone of a vault, the whole building together. They cannot be mended in a state of corruption, they must be in effect constituted anew, and in that attempt they may be diffolved for ever: but this is not the case of a free monarchy. To preferve liberty by new laws and new schemes of government, whilst the corruption of a people continues and grows, is abfolutely impoffible every where: but to restore and to preferve it under old laws, and an old conftitution, by reinfusing into the minds of men the spirit of this constitution, is not only possible, but is, in a particular manner, easy to a king. A corrupt commonwealth remains without remedy, though all the orders and forms of it subfift: a free monarchical government cannot remain absolutely so, as long as the orders and forms of the constitution subfist. These alone are indeed nothing more than the dead letter of freedom, or masks of liberty. In the first character they serve to no good purpose whatsoever: in the second they serve ment by will, becomes more severe, and more secure, under their disguise, than it would if it was barefaced and avowed. But a king can, easily to himself, and without violence to his people, renew the spirit of liberty in their minds, quicken this dead letter, and pull off this mask.

As foon as corruption ceases to be an expedient of government, and it will cease to be such as soon as a Patriot King is raised to the throne, the panacea is applied: the spirit of the constitution revives of course; and as fast as it revives, the orders and forms of the constitution are restored to their primitive integrity, and become what they were intended to be; real barriers against arbitrary power, not blinds nor masks under which tyranny may lie concealed. Depravation of manners exposed the constitution to ruin; reformation will fecure it. Men decline eafily from virtue; for there is a devil too in the political system, a constant tempter at hand: a Patriot King will want neither power nor inclination to cast out this

this devil, to make the temptation cease, and to deliver his subjects if not from the guilt, yet from the consequence, of their fall. Under him, they will not only cease to do evil, but learn to do well; for by rendering public virtue and real capacity the fole means of acquiring any degree of power or profit in the state, he will set the paffions of their hearts on the fide of liberty and good government. A Patriot King is the most powerful of all reformers; for he is himself a fort of standing miracle, fo rarely feen and fo little understood, that the sure effects of his appearance will be admiration and love in every honest breast, confusion and terror to every guilty conscience, but submission and refignation in all. A new people will feem to arife with a new king. Innumerable metamorphofes, like those which poets feign, will happen in very deed: and while men are conscious that they are the same individuals, the difference of their fentiments will almost persuade them that they are changed into different beings.

But that we may not expect more from

from such a king than even he can perform, it is necessary to premise another general observation, after which I shall descend into some that will be more particular.

Absolute stability is not to be expected in any thing human; for that which exists immutably exists alone necessarily, and this attribute of the Supreme Being can neither belong to man, nor to the works of man. The best instituted governments, like the best constituted animal bodies. carry in them the feeds of their destruction: and though they grow and improve for a time, they will foon tend vifibly to their diffolution. Every hour they live is an hour the less that they have to live. All that can be done therefore to prolong the duration of a good government, is to draw it back, on every favourable occasion, to the first good principles on which it was When these occasions happen founded. often, and are well improved, fuch governments are prosperous and durable. they happen feldom, or are ill improved, these political bodies live in pain or in languor, and die foon.

A Patriot King affords one of the occafions I mention in a free monarchical state, and the very best that can happen It should be improved, like snatches of fair weather at fea, to repair the damages sustained in the last storm, and to prepare to refift the next. For fuch a king cannot fecure to his people a fucceffion of princes like himself. He will do all he can towards it, by his example and by his instruction. But after all, the royal mantle will not convey the spirit of patriotism into another king, as the mantle of ELIJAH did the gift of prophecy into another prophet. The utmost he can do, and that which deserves the utmost gratitude from his subjects, is to restore good government, to revive the spirit of it, and to maintain and confirm both, during the whole course of his reign. The rest his people must do for themselves. they do not, they will have none but themselves to blame: if they do, they will have the principal obligation to him. In all events they will have been free men one reign the longer by his means, K and

and perhaps more; fince he will leave them much better prepared and disposed to defend their liberties, than he found them.

This general observation being made, let us now descend, in some detail, to the particular steps and measures that such a king must pursue, to merit a much nobler title than all those which many princes of the west, as well as the east, are so proud to accumulate.

First then, he must begin to govern as soon as he begins to reign. For the very first step he makes in government will give the first impression, and as it were, the pressage of his reign; and may be of great importance in many other respects besides that of opinion and reputation. His first care will be, no doubt, to purge his court, and to call into the administration such men, as he can assure himself will serve on the same principles on which he intends to govern.

As

As to the first point; if the precedent reign has been bad, we know now he will find the court composed. The men in power will be some of those adventurers, busy and bold, who thrust and crowd themselves early into the intrigue of party and the management of affairs of state, often without true ability, always without true ambition, or even the appearances of virtue: who mean nothing more than what is called making a fortune, the acquifition of wealth to fatisfy avarice, and of titles and ribbands to fatisfy vanity. Such as these are sure to be employed by a weak, or a wicked king: they impose on the first, and are chosen by the last. Nor is it marvellous that they are fo, fince every other want is supplied in them by the want of good principles and a good conscience; and fince these defects become ministerial perfections, in a reign when measures are pursued and defigns carried on that every honest man will disapprove. prostitutes who set themselves to sale, all the locusts who devour the land, with K 2 crowds crowds of spies, parasites and sycophants, will surround the throne under the patronage of such ministers; and whole swarms of little noisome, nameless insects will hum and buzz in every corner of the court. Such ministers will be cast off, and such abettors of a ministry will be chased away together, and at once, by a Patriot

King.

Some of them perhaps will be abandoned by him; not to party-fury, but to national justice; not to sate private refentments, and to ferve particular interests, but to make satisfaction for wrongs done to their country, and to stand as examples of terror to future administra-Clemency makes, no doubt, an amiable part of the character I attempt to draw; but clemency to be a virtue, must have its bounds like other virtues, and furely these bounds are extended enough by a maxim I have read somewhere, that frailties and even vices may be passed over, but not enormous crimes: multa donanda ingeniis puto; sed donanda vitia, non portenta.

Among

Among the bad company with which fuch a court will abound, may be reckoned a fort of men too low to be much regarded, and too high to be quite neglected; the lumber of every administration, the furniture of every court. Thefe gilt carved things are feldom answerable for more than the men on a chess-board, who are moved about at will, and on whom the conduct of the game is not to be charged. Some of these every prince must have about him. The pageantry of a court requires that he should, and this pageantry, like many other despicable things, ought not to be laid afide. But as much fameness as there may appear in the characters of this fort of men, there is one distinction that will be made, whenever a good prince fucceeds to the throne after an iniquitous administration: the distinction I mean is, between those who have affected to dip themselves deeply in precedent iniquities, and those who have had, the virtue to keep aloof from them, or the good luck not to be called to any share in K 3 them.

134 THE IDEA OF

them. And thus much for the first point, that of purging his court.

As to the fecond, that of calling to his administration such men as he can affure himself will serve on the same principles on which he intends to govern, there is no need to enlarge much upon it. good prince will no more chuse ill men, than a wife prince will chuse fools. Deception in one case is indeed more easy than in the other; because a knave may be an artful hypocrite, whereas a filly fellow can never impose himself for a man And least of all, in a country of fense. like ours, can either of these deceptions happen, if any degree of the discernment of spirits be employed to chuse. The reafon is, because every man here, who stands forward enough in rank and reputation to be called to the councils of his king, must have given proofs beforehand of his patriotifin as well as of his capacity, if he has either, sufficient to determine his general character.

There

There is, however, one distinction to be made as to the capacity of ministers, on which I will infift a little: because I think it very important at all times, particularly fo at this time; and because it escapes observation most commonly. The diffinction I mean is that between a cunning man and a wife man: and this diftinction is built on a manifest difference in nature, how imperceptible foever it may become to weak eyes, or to eyes that look at their object through the false medium of custom and habit. My lord BACON fays, that cunning is left-banded or crooked wisdom. I would rather say that it is a part, but the lowest part of wisdom; employed alone by fome, because they have not the other parts to employ: and by some, because it is as much as they want, within those bounds of action which they prescribe to themselves, and fufficient to the ends that they propose. The difference feems to confift in degree and application, rather than in kind. Wisdom is neither left-handed, nor crooked: but the heads of some men contain little, K 4

little, and the hearts of others employ it wrong. To use my Lord BACON's own comparison, the cunning man knows how to pack the cards, the wife man how to play the game better: but it would be of no use to the first to pack the cards, if his knowledge stopped here, and he had no skill in the game; nor to the second, to play the game better, if he did not know how to pack the cards, that he might unpack them by new shuffling. Inferior wisdom or cunning may get the better of folly; but superior wisdom will get the better of cunning. Wisdom and cunning have often the same objects; but a wife man will have more and greater in his view. The least will not fill his foul, nor ever become the principal there; but will be purfued in subserviency, in subordination at least, to the other. Wisdom and cunning may employ fometimes the fame means too; but the wife man stoops to these means, and the other cannot rise above them. Simulation and diffimulation for instance are the chief arts of cunning: the first will be esteemed always by

by a wife man unworthy of him, and will be therefore avoided by him, in every poffible cafe: for, to refume my lord BACON's comparlion, fimulation is put on that we may look into the cards of another, whereas diffimulation intends nothing more than to hide our own. Simulation is a stiletto, not only an offensive, but an unlawful weapon; and the use of it may be rarely, very rarely, excused, but never justified. Diffimulation is a shield, as secrecy is armour: and it is no more possible to preserve secrecy in the administration of public affairs without some degree of diffimulation, than it is to fucceed in it without fecrecy. Those two arts of cunning are like the alloy mingled with pure ore. A little is necessary and will not debase the coin below it'sproper standard; but if more than that little be employed, the coin looses it's currency, and the coiner his credit.

We may observe much the same difference between wisdom and cunning both as to the objects they propose, and to the means they employ, as we observe between

the

the visual powers of different men. One fees distinctly the objects that are near to him, their immediate relations, and their direct tendencies; and a fight like this ferves well enough the purpose of those who concern themselves no further. The cunning minister is one of those: he neither sees nor is concerned to see any further, than his personal interests, and the support of his administration require. If fuch a man overcomes any actual difficulty, avoids any immediate diffrefs, or, without doing either of these effectually, gains a little time, by all the low artifice which cunning is ready to fuggest and baseness of mind to employ; he triumphs, and is flattered by his mercenary train on the great event, which amounts often to no more than this, that he got into diffress by one feries of faults, and out of it by The wife minister sees, and is another. concerned to fee further, because government has a further concern: he fees the objects that are distant as well as those that are near, and all their remote relations, and even their indirect tendencies. He thinks of fame as well as of applause, and prefers that, which to be enjoyed must be given, to that which may be bought. He confiders his administration as a fingle day in the great year of government; but as a day that is affected by those which went before, and that must affect those which are to follow. He combines therefore and compares all these objects, relations, and tendencies, and the judgment he makes, on an entire, not a partial survey of them, is the rule of his conduct. That scheme of the reason of state which lies open before a wife minister contains all the great principles of government, and all the great interests of his country: so that as he prepares some events, he prepares against others, whether they be likely to happen during his administration, or in some future time.

Many reflections might be added to these, and many examples be brought to illustrate them. Some I could draw from the men I have feen at the head of bufiness, and make very strong contrasts of men of great wisdom with those of mere cunning.

I may proceed to another of no less importance.

To espouse no party, but to govern like the common father of his people, is so effential to the character of a Patriot King, that he who does otherwise, forfeits the title. It is the peculiar privilege and glory of this character, that princes who maintain it, and they alone, are so far from the necessity, that they are not exposed to the temptation, of governing by a party: which must always end in the government of a faction; the faction of the prince if he has ability, the faction of his ministers if he has not, and either one way or other in the oppression of the people. For faction is to party what the Superlative is to the positive: party is a political evil, and faction is the worst of all parties. The true image of a free people, governed by a Patriot King, is that of a patriarchal family, where the head and all the members are united by one common interest, and animated by one com-

mon

mon spirit; and where, if any are perverse enough to have another, they will be foon borne down by the superiority of those who have the same; and far from making a division, they will but confirm the union of the little state. That to approach as near as possible to these ideas of perfect government, and focial happiness under it, is desirable in every state, no man will be abfurd enough to deny. The fole question is therefore, how near to them it is possible to attain? For, if this attempt be not absolutely impracticable, all the views of a Patriot King will be directed to make it succeed. Instead of abetting the divisions of his people. he will endeavour to unite them, and to be himself the center of their union: instead of putting himself at the head of one party in order to govern bis people, he will put himself at the head of his people in order to govern, or more properly to subdue all parties. Now, to arrive at this defirable union, and to maintain it, will be found more difficult in some cases than in others, but absolutely impof-

142 THE IDEA OF

impossible in none, to a wife and good prince.

If his people are united in their fubmission to him, and in their attachment to the established government, he must not only espouse but create a party, in order to govern by one: and what should tempt him to pursue so wild a measure? A prince who aims at more power than the constitution gives him, may be so tempted; because he may hope to obtain in the disorders on the state what cannot be obtained in quiet times; and because contending parties will give what a nation will not. Parties, even before they degenerate into absolute factions, are still numbers of men affociated together for certain purposes, and certain interests, which are not, or which are not allowed to be those of the community by others A more private or personal interest comes but too foon, and too often, to be superadded, and to grow predominant in them : and when it does fo, whatever occasions or principles began to form them, the fame

same logic prevails in them that prevails in every church. The interest of the state is supposed to be that of the party, as the interest of religion is supposed to be that of the church, and, with this pretence or prepoffession, the interest of the state becomes, like that of religion, a remote confideration, is never purfued for it's own fake, and is often facrificed to the other. A king, therefore, who has ill defigns to carry on, must endeavour to divide an united people; and, by blending or feeming to blend his interests with that of a party, he may succeed perhaps; and his party and he may share the spoils of a ruined nation: but such a party is then become a faction, such a king is a tyrant, and fuch a government is a conspiracy. A Patriot King must renounce his character to have fuch defigns, or act against his own defigns to pursue such methods. Both are too abfurd to be supposed. remains therefore, that as all the good ends of government are most attainable in an united state, and as the divisions of a people can ferve to bad purposes alone, the

the king we suppose here will deem the union of his subjects his greatest advantage, and will think himself happy to find that established, which he would have employed the whole labour of his life to bring about. This seems so plain, that I am ready to make excuses for having insisted at all upon it.

Let us turn ourselves to another supposition, to that of a divided state. This will fall in oftener with the ordinary course of things in free governments, and especially after iniquitous and weak administrations. Such a state may be better or worse, and the great and good purposes of a Patriot King, more or less attainable in it, according to the different nature of those divisions, and therefore we will consider this state in different lights.

A people may be united in submission to the prince, and to the establishment, and yet be divided about general principles, or particular measures of government: ment. In the first case, they will do by their constitution what has been frequently done by the Scripture, strain it to their own notions and prejudices; and if they cannot strain it, alter it as much as is necessary to render it conformable to them. In the second, they will support or oppose particular acts of administrations, and defend or attack the persons employed in them: and both these ways a conslict of parties may arise, but no great difficulty to a prince who determines to pursue the union of his subjects, and the prosperity of his kingdoms, independently of all parties.

When parties are divided by different notions and principles concerning some particular ecclefiastical or civil institutions, the constitution, which would be their rule, must be that of the prince. He may and he ought to shew his dislike or his favour, as he judges the constitution may be hurt or improved, by one side or the other. The hurt he is never to suffer, not for his own sake; and therefore surely not for the sake of any whimsical, sactious,

L

or ambitious set of men. The improvement he must always defire, but as every new modification, in a scheme of government and of national policy, is of great importance, and requires more and deeper confideration than the warmth and hurry and rashness of party-conduct admit, the duty of a prince feems to require that he should render by his influence the proceedings more orderly and more deliberate, even when he approves the end to which they are directed. All this may be done by him without fomenting divifion; and far from forming, or espousing a party, he will defeat party in defence of the constitution, on some occasions; and lead men from acting with a partyspirit, to act with a national spirit, on others.

When the division is about particular measures of government, and the conduct of the administration is alone concerned, a Patriot King will stand in want of party as little as in any other case. Under his reign, the opportunities of forming an opposition of this sort will be rare, and the

the pretences generally weak. Nay the motives to it will lose much of their force, when a government is strong in reputation, and men are kept in good humour by feeling the rod of a party on no occafion, though they feel the weight of the scepter on some. Such opportunities however may happen, and there may be reason as well as pretences fometimes for oppofition even in fuch a reign: at least we will. suppose so, that we may include in this argument every contingent case. Grievances then are complained of, mistakes and abuses in government are pointed out, and ministers are prosecuted by their enemies. Shall the prince on the throne form a party by intrigue, and by fecret and corrupt influence, to oppose the pro-When the prince and the mifecution? nisters are participes criminis, when every thing is to be defended, lest fomething should come out, that may unravel the filly wicked scheme, and disclose to public fight the whole turpitude of the administration; there is no help, this must de done, and such a party must be formed, L 2 because because such a party alone will submit to a drudgery of this kind. But a prince, who is not in these circumstances, will not have recourse to these means. He has others more open, more noble, and more effectual in his power: he knows that the views of his government are right, and that the tenor of his administration is good; but he knows that neither he nor his ministers are infallible, nor impeccable. There may be abuses in his government, mistakes in his administration, and guilt in his ministers, which he has not observed: and he will be far from imputing the complaints, that gave him occafion to observe them, to a spirit of party; much less will he treat those who carry on fuch profecutions in a legal manner as incendiaries, and as enemies to his government. On the contrary, he will diftinguish the voice of his people from the clamour of a faction, and will hearken to it. He will redress grievances, correct errors, and reform or punish ministers. This he will do as a good prince: and as a wife one, he will do it in such a manner mann er that his dignity shall be maintained, and that his authority shall increase with his reputation by it.

Should the efforts of a meer faction be bent to calumniate his government, and to distress the administration on groundless pretences, and for insufficient reafons; he will not neglect, but he will not apprehend neither, the short-lived and contemptible scheme. He will indeed have no reason to do so; for let the fautors of male-administration, whenever an oppofition is made to it, affect to infinuate as much as they please, that their masters are in no other circumstances than those to which the very best ministers stand exposed, objects of general envy and of particular malice, it will remain eternally true, that groundless opposition, in a well-regulated monarchy, can never be strong and durable. To be convinced of the truth of this proposition, one needs only to reflect how many well-grounded attacks have been defeated, and how few have fucceeded, against the most wicked and the weakest administrations. L 3 king

king of Britain has means enough in his power to defeat and to calm opposition. But a Patriot King, above all others, may safely rest his cause on the innocency of his administration, on the constitutional strength of the crown, and on the concurrence of his people, to whom he dares appeal, and by whom he will be supported.

To conclude all I will fay on the divifions of this kind, let me add, that the case of a groundless opposition can hardly happen in a bad reign, because in such a reign just occasions of opposition must of course be frequently given (as we have allowed that they may be given fometimes, though very rarely, in a good reign) but that whether it be well or ill grounded, whether it be that of the nation, or that of a faction, the conduct of the prince with respect to it will be the same; and one way or other this conduct must have a very fatal event. Such a prince will not mend the administration, as long as he can refift the justest and most popular opposition: and therefore this opposition will laft

last and grow, as long as a free constitution is in force, and the spirit of liberty is preserved; for so long even a change of his ministers, without a change of his measures, will not be sufficient. former without the latter is a meer banter. and would be deemed and taken for fuch. by every man who did not oppose on a factious principle; that I mean of getting into power at any rate, and ufing it as ill, perhaps worse than the men he helped to turn out of it. Now if fuch men as these abound, and they will abound in the decline of a free government, a bad prince, whether he changes, or does not change his ministers, may hope to govern by the spirit and art of a faction, against the fpirit and strength of the nation. His character may be too low, and that of his minister too odious, to form originally even a faction that shall be able to defend them. But they may apply to their purposes a party that was formed on far difrent occasions, and bring numbers to fight for a cause in which many of them would not have lifted. The names, and L4 with with the names the animofity of parties, may be kept up, when the causes that formed them subfift no longer.

When a party is thus revived or continued in the spirit of a faction, the corrupt and the infatuated members of it will act without any regard to right or wrong: and they who have afferted liberty in one reign, or opposed invasions of one kind, will give it up in another reign, and abet invafions of another kind; though they still distinguish themselves by the same appellation, still spread the same banner, and still deafen their adversaries and one another with the same cry. If the national cause prevails against all the wicked arts of corruption and division, that an obstinate prince and flagitious ministry can employ: yet will the struggle be long, and the difficulties, the distresses, and the danger great, both to the king and to the people. The best he can hope for, in fuch a case, will be to escape with a diminution of his reputation, authority, and power. He may be exposed to something worse; and his obstinacy may force things

things to such extremities, as they who oppose him will lament, and as the prefervation of liberty and good government can alone justify. If the wicked hearts I speak of prevail, faction will be propagated through the whole nation, an ill or well-grounded opposition will be the question no longer, and the contest among parties will be who shall govern, not how they shall be governed. In short, universal confusion will follow, and a complete victory on any side will enslave all sides.

I have not over-charged the draught. Such consequences must follow such a conduct; and therefore let me ask how much more safe, more easy, more pleasant, more honourable is it, for a prince to correct, if he has not prevented maleadministration? that he may be able to rest his cause, as I said before, on the strength of the crown and the concurrence of his people, whenever any faction presumes to rise in opposition to him.

This a Patriot King will do. He may favour one party and discourage another, upon occasions wherein the state of his

king

kingdom makes such a temporary measure necessary. But he will espouse none,
much less will he proscribe any. He will
list no party, much less will he do the
meanest and most imprudent thing a king
can do, list himself in any. It will be his
aim to pursue true principles of government independently of all: and by a
steady adherence to this measure, his reign
will become an undeniable and glorious
proof, that a wise and good prince may
unite his subjects, and be himself the center of their union, notwithstanding any of
these divisions that have been hitherto
mentioned.

Let us now view the divided state of a nation in another light. In this, the divisions will appear more odious, more dangerous; less dependent on the influence,
and less subject to the authority of the
crown. Such will be the state, whenever
a people is divided about submission to their
prince, and a party is formed of spirit and
strength sufficient to oppose, even in arms,
the established government. But in this
case, desperate as it may seem, a Patriot
King

King will not despair of reconciling, and re-uniting his subjects to himself, and to one another. He may be obliged perhaps, as HENRY the Fourth of France was, to conquer his own; but then like that great prince, if he is the conquerer, he will be the father too of his people. He must pursue in arms those who presume to take arms against him; but he will purfue them like rebellious children whom he feeks to reclaim, and not like irreconcileable enemies whom he endeavours to exterminate. Another prince may blow up the flame of civil war by unprovoked feverity, render those zealous against him who were worst indifferent, and determine the difaffection of others to open rebellion. When he has prevailed against the faction he helped to form, as he could not have prevailed if the bent of the nation had been against him, he may be willing to ascribe his scccess to a party, that he may have that pretence to govern by a party: and far from reconciling the minds that have been alienated from him, and re-uniting his subjects in a willing unforce

forced submission to him, he may be content to maintain himself on that throne. where the laws of God and man have placed him, by the melancholy expedient that usurpers and tyrants, who have no other in their power to employ; the expedient of force. But a Patriot King will act with another spirit, and entertain nobler and wifer views from first to last, and thro' the whole course of such a conjuncture. Nothing less than the hearts of his people will content fuch a prince, nor will he think his throne established till it is established there. That he may have time and opportunity to gain them, therefore, he will prevent the flame from breaking out, if by art and management he can do it. If he cannot, he will endeavour to keep it from spreading: and if the phrenzy of rebellion disappoints him in both these attempts, he will remember peace, like the heroic king I just now quoted, in the midft of war. Like him he will forego advantages of pushing the latter, rather than lofe an opportunity of promoting the former: like him, in the heat of batthe he will spare, and in the triumph of victory condescend: like him, he will beat down the violence of this stame, by his valour, and extinguish even the embers of it, by his lenity.

It may happen, that a prince, capable of holding such a conduct as this, may not have the opportunity. He may fucceed to the throne after a contrary conduct has been held; and when, among other divifions which male administration and the tyranny of faction have increased and confirmed, there is one against the established government still in being, though not still in arms. The use is obvious, which a faction in power might make of fuch a circumstance under a weak prince, by ranking in that division all those who opposed the administration, or at least by holding out equal danger to him from two quarters, from their enemies who meant him no harm, and from his enemies who could do him none. But fo gross an artifice will not impose on a prince of another character; he will foon differn the diffinctions it becomes him to make. He will

will fee, in this instance, how faction breeds, nourishes, and perpetuates faction: he will observe how far that of the court contributed to form the other, and contributes still to keep it in countenance and credit, among those who consider more what fuch men are against, than what they are for. He will observe, how much that of the disaffected gives pretence to the other who keeps a monopoly of power and wealth, one of which oppresses, and the other beggars, the rest of the nation: his penetration will foon discover, that these factions break in but little on the body of his people, and that it depends on him alone to take from them even the strength they have; because that of the former is acquired entirely by his authority and purse, and that of the latter principally by the abuse which the former makes of both. Upon the whole, the measures he has to pursue towards the great object of a Patriot King, the union of his people, will appear to him extremely easy. How should they be otherwise? One of the factions must be dissolved the moment that the favour

favour of the prince is withdrawn, and the other is disarmed as soon as it is marked out. It will have no shelter, and it must therefore be so marked out, under a good and wise administration; for whether the members of it avow their principles by retusing those tests of fidelity which the law requires, or perjure themselves by taking them, they will be known alike. One difference, and but one will be made between them in the general sense of mankind, a difference arising from the greater degree of infamy that will belong justly to the latter. The first may pass for sools: the latter must pass without excuse for knaves

The terms I use sound harshly, but the censure is just, and it will appear to be so in the highest degree, and upon the highest reason, if we stop to make a reflection or two that deserve very well to be made, on the conduct of our Jacobites; for I desire no stronger instance on which to establish the censure, and to justify the terms I have used. Now all these,

these, whether they swear, or whether they do not, are liable to one particular objection, that did not lie against those who were in former days enemies to the king on the throne. In the days of York and Lancaster, for instance, a man might be against the prince on the throne without being against the constitution of his country. The constitution conveyed the crown by bereditary right in the same family: and he who was a Yorkift, and he who was a Lancastrian, might, and I doubt not did, pretend in every contest to have this right on his fide. The fame constitution was acknowledged by both, and therefore fo much indulgence was shewn by law to both, at least in the time of HENRY the Seventh, that submisfion to a king de facto could not be imputed as a crime to either. Thus again, to descend lower in history; when the exclusion of the duke of York was pressed in the reign of CHARLES the Second, the right of that prince to the crown was not disputed. His divine right indeed, fuch a divine right as his grandfather and

and farther had afferted before him, was not much regarded; but his right by the constitution, his legal right, was fufficiently owned by those who infifted on a law as necessary to barr it. But every Jacobite at this time goes beyond all thefe examples, and is a rebel to the constitution under which he is born, as well as to the prince on the throne. The law of his country has fettled the right of fuccession in a new family. He resists this law, and afferts on his own private authority, not only a right in contradiction to it, but a right extinguished by it. This absurdity is so great, that it cannot be defended except by advancing a greater; and therefore it is urged, that no power on earth could alter the constitution in this respect, nor extinguish a right to the crown inherent in the Stuart family, and derived from a superior, that is, from a divine authority. This kind of plea, for refusing submission to the laws of the land, if it was admitted, would ferve any purpole as well as that for which it is brought. M

brought. Our fanatics urged it formerly, and I do not fee why a conscientious fifth monarchy-man had not as much right to urge it formerly, as a Jacobite has now. But if conscience, that is private opinion, may excuse the fifth monarchy-man and the Jacobite, who act conformably to it, from all imputations except those of madness and folly; how shall the latter be excused when he forswears the principles he retains, acknowledges the right he renounces, takes oaths with an intent to violate them, and calls God to witness to a premeditated lie? Some casuistry has been employed to excuse these men to themselves and to others. But such cafuiftry, and in truth every other, destroys, by diffinctions and exceptions, all morality, and effaces the effential difference between right and wrong, good and evil. This the schoolmen in general have done on many occasions; the sons of Loyola in particular: and I wish with all my heart that norhing of the same kind could be objected to any other divines. Some political

litical reasoning has been employed, as well as the cafuittry here spoken of, and to the same purpose. It has been said, that the conduct of those who are enemies to the establishment, to which they submit and fwear, is justified by the principles of the Revolution. But nothing can be more false and frivolous. By the principles of the Revolution, a subject may refift, no doubt, the prince who endeavours to ruin and enflave his people, and may push this resistance to the dethronement and exclusion of him and his race: but will it follow, that, because we may justly take arms against a prince whose right to govern we once acknowledged, and who by subsequent acts has forfeited that right, we may fwear to a right we do not acknowledge, and refift a prince whose conduct has not forfeited the right we fwore to, nor given any just dispensation from our oaths?

But I shall lengthen this digression no further: it is on a subject I have treated in public writings, the resutation of which

M 2

never

never came to my hands, and, I think, never will. I return to the subject of my present discourse. And I say, that such factions as these can never create any obstruction to a prince, who pursues the union of his subjects, nor disturb the peace of his government. The men who compose them must be desperate, and impotent; the most despicable of all characters when they go together. Every honest and sensible man will distinguish himself out of their number: and they will remain, as they deferve to be, hewers of wood, and drawers of water, to the rest of their fellow subjects.

They will remain such, if they are abandoned to themselves, and to that habitual infatuation which they have not sense and spirit enough to break. But if a prince, out of goodness or policy, should think it worth his while to take them from under this influence, and to break these habits; even this division, the most absurd of all others, will not be found incurable. A man who has not

feen the infide of parties, nor had opportunities to examine nearly their fecret motives, can hardly conceive how little a share, principle of any fort, though principle of fome fort or other be always pretended, has in the determination of their conduct. Reason has small effect on numbers: a turn of imagination, often as violent and as fudden as a gust of wind, determines their conduct; and passion is taken by others, and by themselves too, when it grows into habit especially, for principle. What gave strength and spirit to a Jacobite party after the late king's accession? The true answer is, a sudden turn of the imaginations of a whole party, to refentment and rage, that were turned a little before to quiet submission, and patient expectation. Principle had as little share in making the turn, as reason had in conducting it. Men who had fense, and temper too before that moment, thought of nothing after it but of fetting up a tory king against a whig king: and when some of them were asked, if they were fure a popish king would M 3

make a good tory king? or whether they were determined to facrifice their religion and liberty to him? the answer was, No; that they would take arms against him if he made attempts on either; that this might be the case perhaps in fix months after his restoration, but that in the mean time they would endeavour his restoration. This is no exaggerated fact: and I leave you to judge to what fuch fentiments and conduct must be ascribed, to principle or passion, to reason or madness? What gives obstinacy without strength, and sullenness without spirit, to the Jacobite-tories at this time? Another turn of imagination, or rather the same shewing itself in another form. A factious habit, and a factious notion, converted into a notion of policy and honour. They are taught to believe, that by clinging together they are a confiderable weight, which may be thrown in to turn the scale in any great event; and that in the mean time to be a fleady fuffering party, is an honour they may flatter themselves with very justly. they

they continue steady to engagements which most of them wish in their hearts they had never taken; and suffer for principles, in support of which not one of them would venture further than talking the treason that claret inspires.

It results therefore from all that has been faid, and from the reflections which these hints may suggest, that in whatever light we view the divided state of a people, there is none in which these divisions will appear incurable, nor an union of the members of a great community with one another, and with their head, unattainable. It may happen in this case as it does in many others, that things uncommon may pass for improbable or impossible: and as nothing can be more uncommon than a Patriot King, there will be no room to wonder if the natural and certain effects of his conduct should appear improbable or impossible to many. But there is still something more in this case. Though the union we speak of be fo much for the interest of every king and M 4 every

every people, that their glory and their prosperity must increase, or diminish, in proportion as they approach nearer to it, or are further removed from it; yet is there another interest, by which princes and people both are often imposed upon fo far as to mistake it for their own. The interest, I mean, is that of private ambition. It would be easy to shew in many instances, and particularly in this, of uniting instead of dividing, and of governing by a national concurrence instead of governing by the management of parties and factions in the state, how widely different, nay how repugnant the interests of private ambition and those of real patriotism are. Men therefore who are warmed by the first, and have no sense of the last, will declare for division, as they do for corruption, in opposition to union and to integrity of government. They will not indeed declare directly that the two former are in the abstract preferable, but they will affirm with great airs of fufficiency that both are incurable; and conclude from hence, that in practice it is necessar

A PATRIOT KING. 169

necessary to comply with both. This subterfuge once open, there is no false and immoral measure, in political management, which may not be avowed and recommended. But the very men who hope to escape by opening it, shut it up again, and fecure their own condemnation, when they labour to confirm divisions, and to propagate corruption, and thereby to create the very necessity that they plead in their excuse. Necessity of this kind there is in reality none; for it feems full as abfurd to fay, that popular divisions must be cultivated, because popular union cannot be procured, as it would be to fay that poison must be poured into a wound, because it cannot be healed. The practice of morality in private life will never arrive at ideal perfection: must we give up ourselves therefore to all manner of immorality? And must those who are charged with our instruction endeavour to make us the most profligate of men, because they cannot make us faints?

Experience of the depravity of human nature made men desirous to unite in society

ciety and under government, that they might defend themselves the better against injuries: but the same depravity foon inspired to some the design of employing focieties to invade and spoil focieties; and to diffurb the peace of the great common-wealth of mankind, with more force and effect in fuch collective bodies, than they could do individually Just so it happens in the domestic œconomy of particular states; and their peace is disturbed by the same passions: Some of their members content themfelves with the common benefits of fociety, and employ all their industry to promote the public good: but some propose to themselves a separate interest, and, that they may purfue it the more effectually, they affociate with others. Thus factions are in them, what nations are in the world. They invade and rob one another: and while each pursues a separate interest, the common interest is facrificed by them all; that of mankind in one case, that of some particular community in the other. This has been and must always

A PATRIOT KING. 1718

always be in some measure the course of human affairs, especially in free countries, where the passions of men are less restrained by authority: and I am not wild enough to suppose that a Patriot King can change human nature. But I am reasonable enough to suppose, that without altering human nature he may give a check to this course of human affairs, in his own kingdom at least; that he may defeat the defigns, and break the spirit of faction, instead of partaking in one, and affuming the other; and that if he cannot render the union of his subjects universal, he may render it so general, as to answer all the ends of good government, private fecurity, public tranquillity, wealth, power, and fame.

If these ends were ever answered, they were so, surely, in this country, in the days of our ELIZABETH. She found her kingdom full of sactions, and sactions of another consequence and danger than these of our days, whom she would have dispersed with a pust of her breath. She could

could not re-unite them, it is true: the papist continued a papist, the puritan a puritan; one furious the other fullen. But The united the great body of the people in her and their common interest, the inflamed them with one national spirit, and thus armed, she maintained tranquillity at home, and carried fuccour to her friends and terror to her enemies abroad. There were cabals at her court, and intrigues among her ministers. It is said too that the did not diflike that there should be such. But these were kept within her court. They could not creep abroad, to fow division among her people: and her greatest favourite the earl of Essex paid the price of attempting it with his head. Let our great doctors in politics, who preach so learnedly on the trite text divide & impera, compare the conduct of ELIZABETH in this respect with that of her successor, who endeavoured to govern his kingdom by the notions of a faction that he raised, and to manage his parliament by undertakers: and they must be very obstinate indeed, if they refuse to acknowledge, that a wise and good prince can unite a divided people, though a weak and wicked prince cannot; and that the consequences of national union, are glory and happiness to the prince and to the people, whilst those of dis-union bring shame and misery on both, and entail them too on posterity.

I have dwelt long on the last head, not only because it is of great importance in itself, and at all times, but because it is rendered more so than ever at this time. by the unexampled avowal of contrary principles. Hitherto it has been thought the highest pitch of profligacy to own, instead of concealing crimes, and to take pride in them, instead of being ashamed of them. But in our age men have foared to a pitch still higher. The first is common, it is the practice of numbers, and by their numbers they keep one another in countenance. But the choice spirits of these days, the men of mode in politics, are far from stopping where criminals of all kinds have stopt when they have

have gone even to this point; for generally the most hardened of the inhabitants of Newgate do not go fo far. The men I speak of contend, that it is not enough to be vicious by practice and babit, but that it is necessary to be so by principle. They make themselves misfionaries of faction as well as of corruption: they recommend both, they deride all fuch as imagine it possible or fit, to retain truth, integrity, and a difinterested regard to the public in public life, and pronounce every man a fool who is not ready to act like a knave. I hope that enough has been faid, though much more might have been faid, to expose the wickedness of these men, and the absurdity of their schemes; and to shew that a Patriot King may walk more eafily and fuccessfully in other paths of government, per tutum planumque iter religionis, justitiæ, bonestatis, virtutumque moralium. Let me proceed, therefore, to mention two other heads of the conduct that fuch a king will hold, and it shall be my endeavour

deavour not to fall into the same prolixity.

A king who esteems it his duty to support, or to restore, if that be needful, the free constitution of a limited monarchy; who forms and maintains a wife and good administration; who subdues faction, and promotes the union of his people; and who makes their greatest good the constant object of his government, may be faid, no doubt, to be in the true interest of his kingdom. All the particular cases that can arise are included in these general characteristics of a wife and good reign. And yet it feems proper to mention, under a distinct head, some particular instances that have not been touched, wherein this wildom and goodness will exert themfelves.

Now though the true interest of several states may be the same in many respects, yet is there always some difference to be perceived by a discerning eye, both in these interests, and in the manner of pursuing them; a difference that arises from the situa-

fituation of countries, from the character of people, from the nature of government, and even from that of climate and foil: from circumstances that are like these permanent, and from others that may be deemed more accidental. To illustrate all this by examples, would be easy, but long. I shall content myself therefore to mention, in some instances only, the difference that arises, from the causes referred to, between the true interest of our country. and that of fome or all our neighbours on the continent; and leave you to extend and apply in your thoughts the comparison I shall hint at, rather than enlarge upon.

The fituation of Great Britain, the character of her people, and the nature of her government fit her for trade and commerce. Her climate and her foil make them necessary to her well being. By trade and commerce we grew a rich and powerful nation, and by their decay we are growing poor and impotent. As trade and commerce enrich, so they fortify

fortify our country. The fea is our barrier, thips are our fortresses, and the mariners, that trade and commerce alone can furnish, are the garrisons to defend them. France lies under great disadvantages in trade and commerce by the nature of her government. Her advantages in fituation are as great at least as ours. Those that arise from the temper and character of her people are a little different perhaps, and yet upon the whole equivalent. Those of her climate and her foil are superior to ours, and indeed to those of any European nation. United Provinces have the same advantages that we have in the nature of their government, more perhaps in the temper and character of their people, less to be fure in their fituation, climate, and foil. But without descending into a longer detail of the advantages and difadvantages attending each of these nations in trade and commerce, it is sufficient for my present purpose to observe, that Great Britain stands in a certain middle between the other two, with regard to Deret N wealth

wealth and power arising from these springs. A less, and a less constant, application to the improvement of these may serve the ends of France; a greater is necessary in this country; and a greater still in Hol-The French may improve their natural wealth and power by the improvement of trade and commerce. We can have no wealth, nor power by confequence, as Europe is now constituted, without the improvement of them, nor in any degree but proportionably to this improvement, The Dutch cannot subfift without them. They bring wealth to other nations, and are necessary to the well-being of them; but they supply the Dutch with food and raiment, and are necessary even to their being.

The result of what has been said is, in general, that the wealth and power of all nations depending so much on their trade and commerce, and every nation being, like the three I have mentioned, in such different circumstances of advantage or disadvantage in the pursuit of this common interest; a good government, and therefore

therefore the government of a Patriot King, will be directed constantly to make the most of every advantage that nature has given, or art can procure towards the improvement of trade and commerce. And this is one of the principal criterions, by which we are to judge whether governors are in the true interest of the people, or not.

It refults, in particular, that Great Britain might improve her wealth and power in a proportion superior to that of any nation who can be deemed her rival, if the advantages she has were as wisely cultivated, as they will be in the reign of a Patriot King. To be convinced more thoroughly of this truth a very short procels of reasoning will suffice. Let any man, who has knowledge enough for it, first compare the natural state of Great Britain, and of the United Provinces, and then their artificial state together; that is, let him confider minutely the advantages we have by the fituation, extent, and nature of our island, over the inhabitants of a few falt marshes gained on the

N 2

fea,

fea, and hardly defended from it: and after that, let him confider how nearly these provinces have raised themselves to an equality of wealth and power with the kingdom of Great Britain. From whence arises this difference of improvement? It arises plainly from hence: the Dutch have been, from the foundation of their common-wealth, a nation of patriots and merchants. The spirit of that people has not been diverted from these two objects, the defence of their liberty, and the improvement of their trade and commerce; which have been carried on by them with uninterrupted and unflackened application, industry, order, and œconomy. In Great Britain the case has not been the same, in either respect; but here we confine ourselves to speak of the last alone.

Trade and commerce, such as they were in those days, had been sometimes, and in some instances, before the reign of Queen ELIZABETH, encouraged and improved: but the great encouragements were given, the great extensions and improvements

provements were made, by that glorious princess. To her we owe that spirit of domestic and foreign trade which is not quite extinguished. It was she who gave that rapid motion to our whole mercantile fystem which is not entirely ceased. They both flagged under her successor; were both revived under his fon; were checked, diverted, clogged, and interrupted, during our civil wars: and began to exert new vigour after the reftoration in a long course of peace; but met with new difficulties too from the confirmed rivalry of the Dutch, and the growing rivalry of the French. To one of these the pufillanimous character of JAMES the First gave many scandalous occasions: and the other was favoured by the conduct of CHARLES the Second, who never was in the true interest of the people he governed. From the revolution to the death of queen ANNE, however trade and commerce might be aided and encouraged in other respects, they were necesfarily subjected to depredations abroad, and over-loaded by taxes at home, during N 3

the course of two great wars. From the accession of the late king to this hour, in the midst of a full peace, the debts of the nation continue much the same, the taxes have been increased, and for eighteen years of this time we have tamely suffered continual depradations from the most contemptible maritime power in Europe that

of Spain.

A Patriot King will neither neglect, nor facrifice his country's interest. No other interest, neither a foreign nor a domestic, neither a public nor a private, will influence his conduct in government. He will not multiply taxes wantonly, nor keep up those unnecessarily which necessity has laid, that he may keep up legions of tax-gatherers. He will not continue national debts by all forts of political and other profusion; nor, more wickedly still, by a fettled purpose of oppressing and impoverishing the people, that he may with greater ease corrupt fome, and govern the whole, according to the dictates of his passions and arbitrary will. To give ease and encouragement to manufactury at home, to affift and and protect trade abroad, to improve and keep in heart the national colonies, like fo many farms of the mother-country, will be principal and constant parts of the attention of fuch a prince. The wealth of the nation he will most justly esteem to be his wealth, the power his power, the fecurity and the honour, his fecurity and honour: and, by the very means by which he promotes the two first, he will wifely preferve the two last; for by these means, and by these alone, can the great advantage of the fituation of this kingdom be taken and improved.

Great Britain is an island: and whilst nations on the continent are at immense charge in maintaining their barriers, and perpetually on their guard, and frequently embroiled to extend or strengthen them, Great Britain may, if her governours please, accumulate wealth in maintaining hers; make herself secure from invafions, and be ready to invade others when her own immediate interest or the general interest of Europe require it. Of all which N 4

which queen ELIZABETH's reign is a memorable example, and undeniable proof. I said the general interest of Europe, because it seems to me that this alone should call our councils off from an almost entire application to their domestic and proper bufinefs. Other nations must watch over every motion of their neighbours; penetrate, if they can, every defign; foresee every minute event, and take part by fome engagement or other in almost every conjuncture that arises. But as we cannot be eafily nor fuddenly attacked, and as we ought not to aim at any acquisition of territory on the continent, it may be our interest to watch the fecret workings of the feveral councils abroad; to advise, and warn; to abet, and oppose: but it never can be our true interest easily and officiously to enter into action, much less into engagements that imply action and expence. Other nations, like the Velites or light-armed troops, fland foremost in the field, and skirmish perpetually. When a great war begins, we ought to look on the powers

of the continent, to whom we incline, like the two first lines, the Principes and Hastai of a Roman army; and on ourselves, like the Triarii, that are not to charge with these legions on every occasion, but to be ready for the conslict whenever the fortune of the day, be it sooner or later, calls us to it, and the sum of things, or the general interest makes it necessary.

This is that post of advantage and bonour, which our fingular fituation among the powers of Europe determines us, or should determine us, to take, in all disputes that happen on the continent. If we neglect it, and diffipate our strength on occasions that touch us remotely or indirectly; we are governed by men who do not know the true interest of this island, or who have some other interest more at heart. If we adhere to it, so at least as to deviate little and feldom from it, as we shall do whenever we are wifely and honestly governed, then will this nation make her proper figure, and a great one it will be. By a continual attention to improve improve her natural, that is her maritime strength, by collecting all her forces within herself, and referving them to be laid out on great occasions, such as regard her immediate interests and her honour, or such as are truly important to the general system of power in Europe; the may be the arbitrator of differences, the guardian of liberty, and the preserver of that balance, which has been fo much talked of, and is fo little understood.

Are we never to be foldiers? you will Yes, constantly, in such proporfay. tion as is necessary for the defence of good government. To establish such a military force as none but bad governours can want, is to establish tyrannical power in the king or in the ministers; and may be wanted by the latter, when the former would be fecure without his army. if he broke his minister. Occasionally too we must be soldiers, and for offence as well as defence; but in proportion to the nature of the conjuncture, confidered always relatively to the difference here infifted upon, between our fituation,

A PATRIOT KING. 187

tuation, or interest, and the nature of our strength compared with those of the other powers of Europe; and not in proportion to the desires, or even to the wants, of the nations with whom we are confederated. Like other amphibious animals, we must come occasionally on shore: but the water is more properly our element, and in it, like them, as we find our greatest section of the curity, so we exert our greatest force.

What I touch upon here, very shortly, deserves to be considered, and reconsidered, by every man who has, or may have any share in the government of Great Britain. For we have not only departed too much from our true national interest in this respect; but we have done so with the general applause even of well-meaning men, who did not difcern that we wasted ourselves by an improper application of our strength in conjunctures, when we might have ferved the common cause far more usefully, nay with enitre effect, by a proper application of our natural strength. There was something more than this. this. Armies grew fo much into fashion in time of war, among men who meant well to their country, that they who mean ill to it have kept, and keep them still up in the profoundest peace: and the number of our foldiers, in this island alone, is almost double to that of our That they are kept up against foreign enemies, cannot be said with any colour. If they are kept for shew, they are ridiculous. If they are kept for any other purpose whatever, they are too dangerous to be fuffered. A Patriot King, feconded by ministers attached to the true interest of their country, would soon reform this abuse, and save a great part of this expence; or apply it in a manner preferable even to the faving it, to the maintenance of a body of marine foot, and to the charge of a register of thirty or forty thousand seamen. But no thoughts like thefe, no great defigns for the honour and interest of the kingdom, will be entertained, till men who have this honour and interest at heart arise to power.

I come

I come now to the last head, under which I shall consider the character and conduct of a Patriot King: and let it not be thought to be of the least importance, though it may feem at the first mention to concern appearances rather than realities, and to be nothing more than a circumstance contained in or implied by the great parts of the character and conduct of fuch a king. It is of his personal behaviour, of his manner of living with other men, and, in a word, of his private as well as public life that I mean to speak. It is of that decency and grace, that bienléance of the French, that decorum of the Latins, that where of the Greeks, which can never be reflected on any character that is not laid in virtue: but for want of which, a character that is so laid will lose at all times part of the lustre belonging to it, and may be fometimes not a little mif-understood and undervalued. Beauty is not separable from bealth, nor this lustre, said the stoics, from virtue: but as a man may be bealthful without being being bandsome, so he may be virtuous without being amiable.

There are certain finishing strokes, a last hand as we commonly fay, to be given to all the works of art. When that is not given, we may fee the excellency of a general delign, and the beauty of some particular parts. A judge of the art may fee further; he may allow for what is wanting, and ditcern the full merit of a complete work in one that is imperfect. But vulgar eyes will not be fo struck. The work will appear to them defective, because unfinished: so that without knowing precitely what they diflike, they may admire, but they will not be pleafed. Thus in moral characters, though every part be virtuous and great, or though the few and fmall defects in it be concealed under the blaze of those thining qualities that compenfate for them; yet is not this enough even in private life: it is less so in public life, and still less so, in that of a prince.

There is a certain *species liberalis*, more easily understood than explained, and felt than

than defined, that must be acquired and rendered habitual to him. A certain propriety of words and actions, that refults from their conformity to nature and character, must always accompany him, and create an air and manner, that run uniformly through the whole tenour of his conduct and behaviour; which air and manner are fo far from any kind or degree of affectation, that they cannot be attained except by him who is void of all affecta-We may illustrate this to ourselves, and make it more fenfible, by reflecting on the conduct of good dramatic or epic writers. They draw the characters which they bring on the scene from nature, they fustain them through the whole piece, and make their actors neither fay nor do any thing that is not exactly proper to the character each of them represents. Oderint dum metuant, came properly out of the mouth of a tyrant; but EURIPIDES would never have put that execrable fentence into the mouth of MINOS or ÆACUS.

A man

A man of fense and virtue both will not fall into any great impropriety of character, or indecency of conduct. But he may flide or be surprized into small ones, from a thousand reasons, and in a thousand manners, which I shall not stay to enumerate. Against these, therefore, even men, who are incapable of falling into the others, must be still on their guard, and no men fo much as princes. When their minds are filled and their hearts warmed with true notions of government, when they know their duty, and love their people, they will not fail in the great parts they are to act, in the council, in the field, and in all the arduous affairs that belong to their kingly office: at least they will not begin to tail, by failing in them. But as they are men, fusceptible of the same impressions, liable to the fame errors, and exposed to the fame paffions, so they are likewise exposed to more and stronger temptations, than others. Befides, the elevation in which they are placed, as it gives them great advantages, gives them great difadvantages vantages too, that often countervail the former. Thus for instance, a little merit in a prince is seen and felt by numbers: it is multiplied, as it were, and in proportion to this effect his reputation is raised by it. But then, a little failing is seen and felt by numbers too: it is multiplied in the same manner, and his reputation sinks in the same proportion.

I spoke above of defects that may be concealed under the blaze of great and shining qualities. This may be the case: it has been that of some princes. There goes a tradition, that Henry the Fourth of France asked a Spanish ambassador, what mistresses the king of Spain had? The ambassador replied, like a formal pedant, that his master was a prince who seared God, and had no mistress but the queen. Henry the Fourth selt the reflection, and asked him in return with some contempt, "Whether his master had not "virtues enough to cover one vice?"

The faults or defects, that may be thus covered or compensated, are, I think,

those of the man, rather than those of the king; such as arise from constitution, and the natural rather than the moral character; fuch as may be deemed accidental starts of passion, or accidental remiffness in some unguarded hours; surprizes, if I may fay fo, of the man on the king. When these happen seldom, and pass soon, they may be hid, like fpots in the fun, but they are fpots still. He, who has the means of feeing them, will see them: and he who has not, may feel the effects of them without knowing precifely the cause. When they continue (for here is the danger, because, if they continue, they will increase) they are spots no longer: they spread a general shade, and obscure the light in which they were drowned before. The virtues of the king are loft in the vices of the man.

ALEXANDER had violent passions, and those for wine and women were predominant, after his ambition. They were spots in his character before they prevailed by the force of habit: as soon as they began

began to do fo, the king and the hero appeared less, the rake and bully more. Persepolis was burnt at the instigation of THAIS, and CLYTUS was killed in a drunken brawl. He repented indeed of these two horrible actions, and was again the king and hero upon many occasions; but he had not been enough on his guard, when the strongest incitements to vanity and to fenfual pleasures offered themselves at every moment to him; and when he stood in all his easy hours surrounded by women and eunuchs, by the pandars, parafites, and buffoons of a voluptuous court, they who could not approach the king approached the man, and by feducing the man, they betrayed the king. His faults became ha-The Macedonians, who did not or would not fee the one, faw the other. and he fell a facrifice to their refentments, to their fears, and to those factions that will arise under an odious government, as well as under one that grows contempt.

Other characters might be brought to contraste with this. The first Scipio Afri-

CANUS, for example, or the eldeft CATO: and there will be no objection to a comparison of such citizens of Rome as these were with kings of the fuft magnitude. Now the reputation of the first Scipio was not fo clear and uncontroverted in private as in public life; nor was he allowed by all, to be a man of fuch fevere virtue, as he affected, and as that age required. Nævius was thought to mean him in some verses GELLIUS has preserved: and VALERIUS ANTIAS made no scruple to affert, that, far from restoring the fair Spaniard to her family, he debauched and kept her. Notwithstanding this, what authority did he not maintain? In what esteem and veneration did he not live and die? With what panegyrics has not the whole torrent of writers rolled down his reputation even to these days? This could not have happened, if the vice imputed to him had shewn itself in any scandalous appearances, to ecliple the luftre of the general, the conful, or the citizen. fame reflection might be extended to CATO, who loved wine as well as SCIPIO loved women.

women. Men did not judge in the days of the elder CATO perhaps, as SENECA was ready to do in those of the younger, that drunkenness could be no crime if CATO drank: but CATO's passion, as well as that of SCIPIO, was subdued and kept under by his public character. His virtue warmed, instead of cooling, by this indulgence to his genius or natural temper: and one may gather from what TULLY puts into his mouth in the treatise concerning old age, that even his love of wine was rendered subservient, instead of doing hurt, to the measures he pursued in his public character.

Give me leave to infift a little on the two first Cæsars, and on Marc Anthony. I quote none of them as good men, but I-may quote them all as great men, and therefore properly in this place; since a Patriot King must avoid the defects that diminish a great character, as well as those that corrupt a good one. Old Currio called Julius Cæsar the husband of every wife, and the wife of every husband, referring to his known adulteries,

03

and to the compliances that he was fufspected of in his youth for NICOMEDES. Even his own foldiers in the license of a triumph fung lampoons on him for his profusion as well as lewdness. The youth of, Augustus was defamed as much as that of Julius Cæsar, and both as much as that of ANTHONY. When Rome was range facked by the pandars of Augustus, and matrons and virgins were stripped and fearched like flaves in a market, to choose the fittest to satisfy his lust; did ANTHONY do more? When Julius fet no bounds to his debauches in Egypt, except those that fatiety imposed, postquam epulis bacchoque modum lassata voluptas imposuit, when he trifled away his time with CLEOPATRA in the very crisis of the civil war, and till his troops refused to follow him any further in his effeminate progress up the Nile-did Anthony do more? No. all three had vices which would have been fo little borne in any former age of Rome, that no man could have raised himself under the weight of them to popularity and to power. But we must not wonder that

that the people, who bore the tyrants, bore the libertines; nor that indulgence was shewn to the vices of the great, in a city where univerfal corruption and profligacy of manners were established: and yet even in this city, and among these degenerate Romans, certain it is that different appearances, with the fame vices, helped to maintain the CÆSARS, and ruined Anthony. I might produce many anecdotes to shew how the two former saved appearances whilft their vices were the most flagrant, and made so much amends for the appearances they had not faved, by those of a contrary kind, that a great part at least of all which was faid to defame them, might pass, and did pass, for the calumny of party. The of the disaborg

But ANTHONY threw off all decorum from the first, and continued to do so to the last. Not only vice, but indecency became habitual to him. He ceased to be a general, a consul, a triumver, a citizen of Rome. He became an Egyptian king, sunk into luxurious effeminacy, and proved he was unfit to govern men, by

04

fuffering

fuffering himself to be governed by a woman. His vices hurt him, but his babits ruined him. If a political modesty at least had made him disguise the first, they would have hurt him less, and he might have escaped the last: but he was so little sensible of this, that in a fragment of one of his letters to Augustus, which Sueto-NIUs has preserved, he endeavours to justify himself by pleading this very babit. What matter is it whom we lie with? " fays he: this letter may find you per-" haps with TERTULLA, or TERENTIL-" LA, or others that he names. I lie with "CLEOPATRA, and have I not done for " these nine years?"

These great examples, which I have produced, not to encourage vice, but to shew more strongly the advantages of decency in private behaviour, may appear in some sort sigures bigger than the life. Few virtues and sew vices grow up, in these parts of the world, and in these latter ages, to the size of those I have mentioned; and none have such scenes wherein to exert themselves. But the truths

truths I am defirous to inculcate will be as justly delivered in this manner, and perhaps more strongly felt. Failings or vices that flow from the fame fource of human nature, that run the same course through the conduct of princes, and have the same effects on their characters, and confequently on their government and their fortune, have all the proportion necessary to my application of them. It matters little, whether a prince who abandons that common decorum which results from nature, and which reason prescribes, abandons the particular decorums of this country or that, of this age or that, which refult from mode, and which custom exacts. It matters little, for instance, whether a prince gives himself up to the more gross luxury of the West, or to the more refined luxury of the East; whether he become the flave of a domestic harlot, or of a foreign queen; in short, whether he forget himself in the arms of one whore, or of twenty; and whether he imitate Anthony, or a king of Achin, who is reported to have passed his whole time

in a feraglio, eating, drinking, chewing betel, playing with women, and talking of

cock-fighting.

To sum up the whole and draw to a conclusion: this decency, this grace, this propriety of manners to character, is so essential to princes in particular, that whenever it is neglected, their virtues lose a great degree of lustre, and their defects acquire much aggravation. Nay more, by neglecting this decency and this grace, and for want of a sufficient regard to appearances, even their virtues may betray them into failings, their failings into vices, and their vices into habits unworthy of princes and unworthy of men.

The constitutions of governments, and the different tempers and characters of people, may be thought justly to deserve some consideration, in determining the behaviour of princes in private life as well as in public; and to put a difference, for instance, between the decorum of a king of France, and that of a king of Great Britain.

Lewis

Lewis the Fourteenth was king in an absolute monarchy, and reigned over a people whose genius makes it as fit perhaps to impose on them by admiration and awe, as to gain and hold them by affection. Accordingly he kept great state; was haughty, was referved; and all he faid or did appeared to be forethought and planned. His regard to appearances was fuch, that when his miftress was the wife of another man, and he had children by her every year, he endeavoured to cover her constant residence at court by a place she filled about the queen: and he dined and supped and cohabited with the latter in every apparent respect as if he had had no mistress at all. Thus he raised a great reputation; he was revered by his subjects, and admired by his neighbours: and this was due principally to the art with which he managed appearances, so as to set off his virtues, to disguise his failings and his vices, and by his example and authority to keep a veil drawn over the futility and debauch of his His court.

His successor, not to the throne, but to the sovereign power, was a mere rake, with some wit, and no morals; hay, with so little regard to them, that he made them a subject of ridicule in discourse, and appeared in his whole conduct more profligate, if that could be, than he was in principle. The difference between these characters soon appeared in abominable effects; such as, eruelty apart, might recal the memory of Neko, or in the other sex, that of Messalina, and such as I leave the chroniclers of seandal to relate.

Our ELIZABETH was queen in a limited monarchy, and reigned over a people at all times more easily led than driven, and at that time capable of being attached to their prince and their country, by a more generous principle than any of those which prevail in our days, by affection. There was a strong prerogative then in being, and the crown was in possession of greater legal power. Popularity was however then, as it is now, and as it must be always in mixed government, the sole

true foundation of that sufficient authority and influence, which other constitutions give the prince gratis, and independently of the people, but which a king of this nation must acquire. The wife queen faw it, and she saw too how much popularity depends on those appearances, that depend on the decorum, the decency, the grace, and the propriety of behaviour of which we are speaking. A warm concern for the interest and honour of the nation, a tenderness for her people, and a confidence in their affections. were appearances that run through her whole public conduct, and gave life and colour to it. She did great things, and the knew how to fet them off according to their full value, by her manner of doing them. In her private behaviour the shewed great affability, she descended even to familiarity; but her familiarity was fuch as could not be imputed to her weakness, and was therefore most justly ascribed to her goodness. Though a woman, she hid all that was womanish about her: and if a few equivocal, marks

marks of coquetry appeared on some occasions, they passed like slashes of lightning, vanished as soon as they were discerned, and imprinted no blot on her character. She had private friendships, she had favourites: but she never suffered her friends to forget she was their queen; and when her favourites did, she made them seel that she was so.

Her successor had no virtues to set off, but he had failings and vices to conceal. He could not conceal the latter; and, void of the former, he could not compensate His failings and his vices for them. therefore standing in full view, he passed for a weak prince and an ill man; and fell into all the contempt wherein his memory remains to this day. The methods he took, to preserve himself from it. ferved but to confirm him in it. No man can keep the decorum of manners in life, who is not free from every kind of affectation, as it has been faid already: but he who affects what he has no pretentions to, or what is improper to his character and rank in the world, is guilty of most confummate

A PATRIOT KING.

fummate folly: he becomes doubly ungracious, doubly indecent, and quite ridiculous. JAMES the First, not having one quality to conciliate the esteem or affection of his people to him, endeavoured to impose on their understandings; and to create a respect for himself, by spreading the most extravagant notions about kings in general, as if they were middle beings between God and other men; and by comparing the extent and unsearchable mysteries of their power and prerogative to those of the divine providence. language and his behaviour were commonly fuited to fuch foolish pretensions; and thus by affuming a claim to fuch respect and submission as were not due to him, he lost a great part of what was due to him. In short, he begun at the wrong end; for though the shining qualities of the king may cover some failings and some vices that do not grow up to strong habits in the man, yet must the character of a great and good king be founded in that of a great and good man. A king who lives out of the fight of his subjects, or

is never feen by them except on his throne, can scarce be despised as a man, though he may be hated as a king. But the king who lives more in their fight, and more under their observation, may be despised before he is hated, and even without being hate d This happened to king JAMES: a thoufand circumstances brought it to pass, and none more than the indecent weaknesses he had for his minions. He did not endeavour to cure this contempt and raise his character, only by affecting what he had no pretentions to, as in the former case; but he endeavoured likewise most vainly to do it by affecting what was improper to his character and rank. He did not endeavour indeed to difguise his natural pufillanimity and timidity under the mask of a bully, whilft he was imposed upon and insulted by all his neighbours, and above all by the Spaniards; but he retailed the scraps of Buchanan, affected to talk much, figured in church-controversies, and put on all the pedantic appearances of a scholar, whilst he neglect-

A PATRIOT KING. 200

ed all those of a great and good man, as well as king.

Let not princes flatter themselves. They will be examined closely in private as well as in public life: and those who cannot pierce further will judge of them by the appearances they give in both. To obtain true popularity, that which is founded in esteem and affection, they must therefore maintain their characters in both; and to that end neglect appearances in neither, but observe the decorum neceffary to preferve the esteem, whilst they win the affections of mankind. Kings, they must never forget that they are men: men, they must never forget that they The fentiments which one are kings. of these reflexions of course inspires will give an humane and affable air to their whole behaviour, and make them tafte in that high elevation all the joys of focial life. The fentiments that the other reflexion fuggests will be found very compatible with the former; and they may never forget that they are kings, though they do not always carry the crown on their

their heads, nor the sceptre in their hands. Vanity and folly must entrench themselves in a constant affectation of state to preferve regal dignity: a wife prince will know how to preserve it when he lays his majesty aside. He will dare to appear a private man, and in that character he will draw to himself a respect less oftentatious, but more real and more pleafing to him, than any which is paid to the monarch. By never Jaying what is unfit for him to fay, he will never bear what is unfit for him to hear. By never doing what is unfit for him to do, he will never see what is unfit for him to see. Decency and propriety of manners are fo far from leffening the pleasures of life, that they refine them, and give them an higher taste: they are so far from refiraining the free and easy commerce of focial life, that they banish the bane of it, licentiousness of behaviour. Ceremony is the barrier against this abuse of liberty in public; politeness and decency are fo in private: and the prince, who practifes and exacts them, will amuse himfelf

himself much better, and oblige those who have the honour to be in his intimacy, and to share his pleasures with him, much more, than he could possibly do by the most absolute and unguarded familiarity.

That which is here recommended to princes, that conftant guard on their own behaviour even in private life, and that constant decorum which their example ought to exact from others, will not be found so difficult in practice as may be imagined; if they use a proper discernment in the choice of the persons whom they admit to the nearest degrees of intimacy with them. A prince should chuse his companions with as great care as his ministers. If he trusts the business of his state to these, he trusts his character to those: and his character will depend on theirs much more than is commonly thought. General experience will lead men to judge that a similitude of character determined the choice; even when chance, indulgence to affiduity, good nature, or want of reflection had their P 2 fhare

share in the introduction of men unworthy of fuch favour. But, in fuch cases. certain it is that they, who judged wrong at first concerning him, will judge right at last. He is not a trifler, for instance. Be it so: but if he takes trifling futile creatures, men of mean characters, or of no character, into his intimacy, he shews a disposition to become such, and will become fuch, unless he breaks these habits early, and before puerile amusements are grown up to be the business of his life. I mean that the minds of princes, like the minds of other men, will be brought down infenfibly to the tone of the company they keep.

A worse consequence even than this may follow a want of discernment in princes how to chuse their companions, and how to conduct themselves in private life. Silly kings have resigned themselves to their ministers, have suffered these to stand between them and their people, and have formed no judgments, nor taken any measures on their own knowledge, but all implicitly on the representations made

made to them by their ministers. Kings of fuperior capacity have refigned themfelves in the same manner to their favourites, male and female, have fuffered these to stand between them and their most able and faithful counsellors: their judgments have been influenced, and their measures directed by infinuations of women, or of men as little fitted as women, by nature and education, to be hearkened to, in the great affairs of government. History is full of fuch examples; all melancholy, many tragical! fufficient, one would imagine, to deter princes, if attended to, from permitting the companions of their idle hours, or the inftruments of their pleasures, to exceed the bounds of those provinces. Should a minister of state pretend to vie with any of these, about the forms of a drawing room, the regulation of a ruelle, the decoration of a ball, or the dress of a fine lady, he would be thought ridiculous, and he would be truly fo. But then are not any of these impertinent, when they presume to meddle in things at least as much above them, as those that have been mentioned are below the others? And are not princes who suffer them to do so, unaccountably weak?

What shall I say further on this head? Nothing more is necessary. Let me wind it up therefore by afferting this great truth, that refults from what has been already faid: As he can never fill the character of a Patriot King, though his personal great and good qualities be in every other respect equal to it, who lies open to the flattery of courtiers, to the feduction of women, and to the partialities and affections which are eafily contracted by too great indulgence in private life; fo the prince who is defirous to establish this character, must observe such a decorum, and keep fuch a guard on himself, as may prevent even the fulpicion of being liable to fuch influences. For as the reality would ruin, the very suspicion will lessen him in the opinion of mankind: the opinion of mankind, which is fame after death, is superior strength and power in life.

AND

AND now, if the principles and meafures of conduct, laid down in this discourse, as necessary to constitute that greatest and most glorious of human beings, a Patriot King, be sufficient to this purpose; let us confider too how eafy it is, or ought to be, to establish them in the minds of princes. They are founded on true propositions, all of which are obvious, nay, many of They are confirmed them felf-evident. by universal experience. In a word, no understanding can resist them, and none but the weakest can fail, or be misled, in the application of them. To a prince whose heart is corrupt, it is in vain to speak, and for such a prince I would not be thought to write. But if the heart of a prince be not corrupt, these truths will find an easy ingression through the understanding to it. Let us consider again, what the fure, the necessary effects of fuch principles and measures of conduct must be, to the prince, and to the people. On this subject let the imagination range through the whole glorious scene of a Pa-P 4 triot triot reign: the beauty of the idea will inspire those transports, which PLATO imagined the vision of virtue would inspire, if virtue could be seen. What in truth can be fo lovely? what fo venerable as to contemplate a king on whom the eyes of a whole people are fixed, filled with admiration, and glowing with affection? a king, in the temper of whose government, like that of NERVA, things fo feldom allied as empire and liberty are intimately mixed, co-exist together inseparably, and constitute one real effence? What spectacle can be prefented to the view of the mind fo rare, fo nearly divine, as a king possessed of absolute power, neither usurped by fraud nor maintained by force, but the genuine effect of esteem, of confidence, and affection; the free gift of liberty, who finds her greatest security in this power, and would defire no other if the prince on the throne could be, what his people wish him to be, immortal. Of fuch a prince, and of such a prince alone, it may be said with strict propriety and truth,

Volentes

Per populos dat jura, viamque affectat Olympi.

Civil fury will have no place in this draught: or, if the monster is seen, he must be seen as Virgil describes him,

Post tergum nodis, fremit berridus ore cruento.

He must be seen subdued, bound, chained, and deprived entirely of power to do hurt. In his place, concord will appear, brooding peace and prosperity on the happy land; joy sitting in every face, content in every heart; a people unoppressed, undisturbed, unalarmed; busy to improve their private property and the public stock; sleets covering the ocean; bringing home wealth by the returns of industry; carrying assistance or terror abroad by the direction of wisdom; and asserting triumphantly the right and the honour of Great Britain,

218 THE IDEA OF, &c.

Britain, as far as waters roll and as winds can wast them.

Those who live to see such happy days, and to act in so glorious a scene, will perhaps call to mind with some tenderness of sentiment, when he is no more, a man, who contributed his mite to carry on so good a work, and who desired life for nothing so much as to see a king of Great Britain the most popular man in his country, and a Patriot King at the head of an united people.

LETTER III.

OFTHE

STATE of PARTIES

ATTHE

Accession of King GEORGE I.

HERE EL

LETTER III.

Of the State of PARTIES at the Accession of King George I.

T Perceive by yours that my discourse I of the character and conduct of a Patriot King, in that article which relates to party, has not entirely fatisfied your expectations. You expected, from some things that I remember to have faid to you in conversation, and others that have fallen on that occasion from my pen, a more particular application of those general reasonings to the present time, and to the state of parties, from the late king's accession to the throne. The subject is delicate enough, and yet I shall speak upon it what truth exacts from me, with the utmost frankness: for I know all our parties parties too well, to esteem any; and I am too old, and too resigned to my fate to

want, or to fear any.

Whatever anecdotes you have been told, for you are too young to have feen the passages of the times I am going to mention, and whatever prepoflessions you have had, take these facts for undoubted truths: that there was no defign on foot during the four last years of queen Anne's reign to fet afide the succession of the house of Hanover, and to place the crown on the head of the pretender to it; nor any party formed for this purpose at the time of the death of that princess, whose memory I honour, and therefore feel a just indignation at the irreverence with which we have seen it treated. If such a design had been on foot during that time, there were moments when the execution of it would not have been difficult, or dangerous enough, to have stopped men of the most Neither could a demoderate resolution. fign of that nature have been carried on fo long, though it was not carried into execution, without leaving fome traces, which would

would have appeared when such strict inquifitions were made; when the papers of fo many of the queen's fervants were feized, and even her own papers, even those she had sealed up to be burnt after her death, were exposed to so much indecent inspection. But laying aside all arguments of the probable kind, I deny the fact absolutely: and I have the better title to expect credit, because it could not be true without my knowledge, or at least fuspicion of it; and because even they who believed it, for all who afferted it did not believe it, had no proof to produce, nor have to this hour, but vain furmifes; nor any authority to rest upon, but the clamour of party.

That there were particular men, who corresponded indirectly and directly too with the pretender, and with others for his service; that these men professed themselves to be zealous in it, and made large promises, and raised some faint hopes, I cannot doubt; though this was unknown to me at that time, or at least I knew it not with the same certainty and

in the same detail that I have known it since. But if this was done by some who were in the queen's service, it was done too by some who were out of it, and I think with little sincerity by either.

It may well feem strange to one who carries in his breast a heart like yours, that men of any rank, and especially of the highest, should hold a conduct so false, so dangerous, always of uncertain event, and often, as it was in the case here mentioned, upon remote contingencies, and fuch as they themselves think the least probable. Even I think it strange, who have been much longer mingled in a corrupt world, and who have feen many more examples of the folly, of the cunning, and the perfidy of mankind. A great regard to wealth, and a total contempt of virtue, are fentiments very nearly allied: and they must possess the whole fouls of men whom they can determine to fuch infamous duplicity, to fuch double treachery. In fact they do fo. One is so afraid of losing his fortune, that he lays in claims to fecure it, perhaps to aug-

ment it, on all sides, and to prevent even imaginary dangers. Another values so little the inward testimony of a good conscience, or the future reproaches of those he has deceived, that he scruples not to take engagements for a time to come that he has no defign to keep; if they may ferve as expedients to facilitate, in any small degree, the fuccess of an immediate project. All this was done at the time, on the occasion, and by the persons I intend. But the scheme of defeating the Protestant succeffion was fo far from being laid by the queen and her ministers, and such a resolution was fo far from being taken, that the very men I speak of, when they were pressed by the other side, that is from Verfailles and St. Germains, to be more particular, and to come into a closer concert, declined both, and gave the most evasive answers.

A little before, or about the time of the queen's death, some other persons, who sigured afterwards in the rebellion, entered in good earnest into those engagements, as I believe; for I do not know exactly

exactly the date of them. But whenever they took them, they took them as fingle They could answer for no party to back them. They might flatter themselves with hopes and dreams, like POMPEY, if little men and little things may be compared with great, of legions ready to rife at the stamp of their feet. But they had no affurance, no nor grounds to expect any troops, except those of the highlands; whose disposition in general was known to every man, but whose insurrection without the concurrence of other insurrections, and other troops, was deemed, even by those that made them take arms afterwards. not a strength but a weakness, ruin to the poor people, and ruin to the cause. In a word, these men were so truly single in their engagements, and their measures were so unripe for action when the resolution of acting immediately was taken by them, that I am persuaded they durst not communicate their defign to any one man of consequence that served at that time with them. What persuades me of it is this: one man, whom they thought likely

likely to incline to them on feveral accounts, they attempted indirectly and at a great distance: they came no nearer to the point with him, neither then, that is just before the queen's death, nor afterwards. They had indeed no encouragement to do it; for upon this hint and another circumstance which fell in, both he and others took feveral occasions to declare that though they would ferve the queen faithfully and exclusively of all other regards or engagements to her last breath, yet after her decease they would acknowledge the prince on whom the fuccession devolved by law, and to which they had fworn and no other. This declaration would have been that of the far greatest number of the fame party, and would have been stuck to by them, if the passions and private interests of another party had not prevailed over the true interest of a new family that was going to mount the throne. You may ask me now, and the question will not be at all improper, how it came to pass, if the queen and her ministers had no defign to defeat this succession, that so much Q 2

much suspicion of it prevailed, that so great an alarm was taken, and so great a clamour raised? I might answer you very shortly and very truly, by the strange conduct of a first minister, by the contests about the negociations of the peace, and

by the arts of a party.

The minds of some ministers are like the fanctum fanctorum of a temple I have read of somewhere: before it a great curtain was folemnly drawn; within it nothing was to be feen but a confused groupe of mis-shapen, and impersect forms, heads without bodies, bodies without heads, and the like. To develope the most complicated cases, and to decide in the most doubtful, has been the talent of great ministers: it is that of others to perplex the most fimple, and to be puzzled by the plainest. No man was more desirous of power than the minister here intended: and he had a competent share of cunning to wriggle himself into it; but then his part was over, and no man was more at a loss how to employ it. The ends he proposed to himself, he saw for the most part

part darkly and indiffinctly: and if he faw them a little better, he still made use of means disproportionate to them. That private correspondence with the queen, which produced the change of the ministry in 1710, was begun with him while he was fecretary of state, and was continued through him during the two years that intervened between his leaving the court, and his return to it. This gave him the fole confidence of the queen, put him more absolutely at the head of the party that came into power, and invested him with all the authority that a first minister could have in those days, and before any man could presume to rival in that rank, and in this kingdom, the rank of the ancient mayors of the palace in France. The tories, with whom and by whom he had rifen, expected much from him. Their expectations were ill answered: and I think that fuch management as he employed would not have hindered them long from breaking from him, if new things had not fallen in, to engage their whole Q 3

whole attention, and to divert their paffions.

The foolish prosecution of SACHEVEREL had carried party-rage to the heighth, and the late change of the ministry had confirmed it there. These circumstances, and many others relative to them, which I omit, would have made it impossible, if there had been honesty and wisdom enough to defire it, to bring about a coalition of the bulk of the tories and whigs at the latter end of this reign: as it had been brought about a few years before under the administration of my lord MARL-BOROUGH and my lord GODOLPHIN, who broke it foon and before it had time to cement, by making fuch an use of it, as I am unable to account for even at this The two parties were in truth hour. become factions, in the strict sense of the I was of one, and I own the guilt; which no man of the other would have a good grace to deny. In this refpect they were alike; but here was the difference: one was well united, well conducted, and determined to their fu-

ture, as well as their present objects. Not one of these advantages attended the other. The minister had evidently no bottom to rest his administration upon, but that of the party, at the head of which he came into power: if he had rested it there, if he had gained their confidence, instead of creating even wantonly, if I may fay fo, a distrust of himfelf in them, it is certain he might have determined them to every national interest during the queen's time, and after her death. But this was above his conception as well as his talents. He meant to keep power as long as he could, by the little arts by which he had got into it: he thought that he should be able to compound for himself in all events, and cared little what became of his party, his mistress, or the nation. That this was the whole of his scheme appeared sufficiently in the course of his administration; was then feen by fome, and has been fince acknowledged by all people. For this purpose he coaxed and persecuted whigs, he flattered and disappointed to-Q4 ries;

ries; and supported by a thousand little tricks his tottering administration. To the tory party he held out the peace, as an æra when all they expected should be done for them, and when they should be placed in such sulness of power and such strength of party, that it would be more the interest of the successor to be well with them, than theirs to be well with them, than theirs to be well with him. Such expressions were often used, and others of like import: and I believe these oracular speeches were interpreted, as oracles used to be, according as every man's inclinations led him.

The contests that soon followed, by the violent opposition to the negociations of peace, did the good hinted at above to the minister, and enabled him to amuse and banter his party a little longer. But they did great, and in some respects irreparable, mischief to Great Britain, and to all Europe. One part of the mischief they did at home is proper to be mentioned here. They dipped the house of Hanover in our party-quarrels unseasonably,

ably, I presume to think, and impopularly; for though the contest was maintained by two parties that pretended equally to have the national interest at heart, yet the national interest was so plainly on one fide of the question, and the other fide was fo plainly partial, at the expence of this interest, to the emperor, the princes of the empire, and the Dutch in particular; that a successor to the crown, who was himself a prince of Germany, should have preserved in good policy, for this very reason, the appearance at least of fome neutrality. The means employed openly to break the queen's measures were indecent and unjustifiable: those employed fecretly, and meditated to be employed, were worse. The ministers of Hanover, whose conduct I may censure the more freely because the late king did not approve it all, took so remarkable a share in the first, that they might be, and they were, fuspected of having some in the others. This had a very bad effect, which was improved by men in the two extremes. The whigs defired nothing more than to have have it thought that the fuccessor was theirs, if I may repeat an infolent expreffion which was used at that time: the notion did them honour, and though it could give no colour, it gave fome strength to their opposition. The Jacobites infinuated industriously the same thing; and represented that the establishment of the house of Hanover would be the establishment of the whig party, and that the interests of Great Britain would be constantly sacrificed to foreign interests, and her wealth drained to support them under that family. I leave you to judge what ingreffion such exaggerations must find, on such occasion, and in such a ferment. I do not think they determined men to Jacobitism. I know they did not; but I know that they dis-inclined men from the succession, and made many who refolved to submit to it, fubmit to it rather as a necessary evil, than as an eligible good.

This was, to the best of my observation, and knowledge, the state of one party.

An absurd one it was, and the consequences

quences of it were forefeen, foretold, and pressed upon the minister at the time, but always without effect, and fometimes without any answers. He had some private intrigue for himself at Hanover: so he had at Bar. He was the bubble of one in the end: the pretender was fo of the other. But his whole management in the mean time was contrived to keep up a kind of general indetermination in the party about the succession; which made a man of great temper once fay to him with paffion, that " he believed no other minister at the head " of a powerful party would not be better " at Hanover, if he did not mean to be " worse there."

The state of the other party was this. The whigs had appeared zealous for the protestant succession from the time when king WILLIAM proposed it, after the death of the duke of GLOUCESTER. The tories voted for it then, and the acts that were judged necessary to secure it, some of them at least, were promoted by them. Yet were they not thought, nor did they affect

as the othersdid, to be thought extremely fond of it. King WILLIAM did not come into this measure, till he found, upon trial, that there was no other safe and practicable: and the tories had an air of coming into it for no other reason. Besides which, it is certain that there was at that time a much greater leaven of Jacobitism in the tory-lump, than at the time spoken of here.

Now thus far the whigs acted like a national party, who thought that their religion and liberty could be fecured by no other expedient, and therefore adhered to this fettlement of the crown with diftinguished zeal. But this national party degenerated foon into faction; that is, the national interest became soon a secondary and subservient motive, and the cause of the fuccession was supported more for the fake of the party or faction, than for the fake of the nation; and with views that went more directly to the establishment of their own administration, than to a folid fettlement of the present royal family. This appeared, evidently enough, to those

those whom noise and shew could not impose upon, in the latter end of the queen's reign, and plain beyond dispute to all mankind, after her decease. The art of the whigs was to blend, as undiflinguishably as they could, all their partyinterests with those of the succession: and they made just the same factious use of the supposed danger of it, as the tories had endeavoured to make some time before of the supposed danger of the church. As no man is reputed a friend to christianity beyond the Alpes and the Pyrannees. who does not acknowledge the papal fupremacy, so here no man was to be reputed a friend to the protestant succesfion who was not ready to acknowledge their supremacy. The interest of the present royal family was to succeed without opposition and risque, and to come to the throne in a calm. It was the interest of a faction that they should come to it in a storm. Accordingly, the whigs were very near putting in execution fome of the wildest projects of insurrections and rebellion, under pretence of fecuring what there

there was not sufficient disposition, nor any preparation at all made to obstruct. Happily for the public these designs proved abortive. They were too well known to have succeeded, but they might have had, and they would have had, most fatal confequences. The storm, that was not raifed to disturb and endanger the late king's accession, was only deferred. To a party, who meant nothing less than engroffing the whole power of the government and the whole wealth of the nation under the fucceffor, a storm, in which every other man should be driven from him, was too necessary, not to be conjured up at any rate; and it was fo immediately after the late king's accession. He came to the throne eafily, and quietly, and took poffession of the kingdom with as little trouble, as he could have expected if he had been not only the queen's fucceffor, but her fon. The whole nation fubmitted chearfully to his government, and the queen's fervants discharged the duty of their offices, whilft he continued them in their offices, in fuch a manner as to merit his approbation. This was fignified to some of them, to the secretaries in particular, in the strongest terms, and according to his majesty's express order, before the whole council of state. might I think, I thought then that he ought, and every man except the earl of O - d, who believed or had a mind to make others believe that his influence would be great in the new reign, expected that he would have given his principal confidence and the principal power of the administration to the whigs: but it was scarce possible to expect, that he would immediately let loose the whole fury of party, suffer the queen's fervants, who had turely been guilty of no crime against him nor the state, to be fo bitterly perfecuted; and profcribe in effect every man in the country who did not bear the name of whig. Princes have often forgot, on their accession to a throne, even personal injuries received in party quarrels: and the faying of LEWIS the twelfth of France, in answer to those who would have perfuaded him to shew feverity

severity to LA TREMOUILLE, is very defervedly famous, "God forbid, said he, " that LEWIS the Twelfth should revenge "the quarrels of the duke of Orleans." Other princes, who have fought their way to the throne, have not only exercised clemency, but shewn favour to those who had stood in arms against them: and here again I might quote the example of another king of France, that of HENRY the Fourth. But to take an example in our own country, look back to the restoration, confider all that paffed from the year 1641 to the year 1660, and then compare the measures that King CHARLES the Second was advised to pursue for the establishment of his government in the circumstances of that time, with those which the late king was advised, and prevailed on, against his opinion, inclination, and first resolution, to pursue, in the circumstances I have just mentioned. I leave the conclusion to the candour and good sense of every impartial reader.

To these measures of unexpected violence alone it must be ascribed, that the pretender had any party for him of strength fufficient to appear and act. These meafures alone produced the troubles that followed, and dyed the royal ermines of a prince, no way fanguinary, in blood. I am far from excusing one party, for fuffering another to drive them into rebellion. I wish I could forget it myself. But there are two observations on that event, which I cannot refuse myself to make. One is, that the very manner in which this rebellion was begun shews abundantly that it was a ftart of paffion, a fudden phrenzy of men transported by their refentment, and nothing less than the execution of a defign long premeditated and prepared. The other is, that few examples are to be found in history, perhaps none, of what happened on this occasion, when the same men, in the fame country, and in the compass of the fame year, were ready to rife in arms against one prince without any national cause; R

cause; and then provoked, by the violence of their councils, the opposite faction to rise in actual rebellion against the successor.

These are some of the effects of maintaining divisions in a nation, and of governing by faction. I might descend into a detail of many fatal consequences that have followed, from the first false step which was taken, when the prefent fettlement was so avowedly made on the narrow bottom of party. But I consider that this discourse is growing into length: that I have had and shall have occasion to mention fome of these consequences elsewhere; and that your own reflexions on what has been faid, will more than supply what I omit to say in this place. Let me therefore conclude by repeating, That division has caused all the mischief we lament, that union can alone retrieve it, and that a great advance towards this union was the coalition of parties, fo happily begun, fo fuccessfully carried on) and of late fo unaccountably neglected;

to fay no worse. But let me add, that this union can never be compleat, till it become an union of the bead with the members, as well as of the members with one another: and that such an union can never be expected till patriotism fills the throne, and faction be banished from the administration.

The END.



